arXiv:1701.03202v1 [math.AG] 12 Jan 2017

TRUNCATED AFFINE SPRINGER FIBERS AND ARTHUR'S WEIGHTED ORBITAL INTEGRALS

ZONGBIN CHEN

Abstract. We explain an algorithm to calculate Arthur's weighted orbital integral in terms of the number of rational points on the fundamental domain of the associated affine Springer fiber. The strategy is to count the number of rational points of the truncated affine Springer fibers in two ways: by the Arthur-Kottwitz reduction and by the Harder-Narasimhan reduction. A comparison of results obtained from these two approaches gives us recurrence relations between the number of rational points on the fundamental domains of the affine Springer fibers and Arthur's weighted orbital integrals. As an example, we calculate Arthur's weighted orbital integrals for the group GL_2 and GL_3.

1. Introduction

Let $k = \mathbf{F}_q$ be the finite field with q elements. Let $F = k(\!(\epsilon)\!)$ be the field of Laurent series with coefficients in k, $\mathcal{O} = k[\![\epsilon]\!]$ the ring of integers of F, $\mathfrak{p} = \epsilon k[\![\epsilon]\!]$ the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}$. We fix an algebraic closure $\bar{k}$ of k, and also a compatible algebraic closure $\overline{F}$ of F. Let $\mathrm{val} : \overline{F}^\times \to \mathbf{Q}$ be the discrete valuation normalized by $\mathrm{val}(\epsilon) = 1$.

Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a connected split reductive group scheme over $\mathcal{O}$, assume that $\mathrm{char}(k) > r := \mathrm{rk}(\mathbf{G})$, where $\mathrm{rk}(\mathbf{G})$ is the semisimple rank of $\mathbf{G}$. Let $\mathbf{T}$ be a maximal torus of $\mathbf{G}$ over $\mathcal{O}$. We make the assumption that the splitting field of $\mathbf{T}(F)$ is totally ramified over F. Let $\mathbf{S} \subset \mathbf{T}$ be the maximal split subtorus of $\mathbf{T}$ over $\mathcal{O}$, let $\mathbf{M}_0 = Z_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{S})$. We use plain letters for the special fiber of the corresponding group scheme, for example, $G := \mathbf{G} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O})} \mathrm{Spec}(k)$; and we use Gothic letters for the associated Lie algebras. We fix Haar measures dg and dt on $\mathbf{G}(F)$ and $\mathbf{T}(F)$ respectively, normalized by $dg(\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O})) = dt(\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{O})) = 1$.

Let $\gamma \in \mathfrak{t}(\mathcal{O})$ be a regular element, it is anisotropic in $\mathfrak{m}_0(F)$. In this work, we are interested in Arthur's weighted orbital integral

$$J_{M_0}(\gamma) = J_{M_0}(\gamma,\, \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})}) = \int_{\mathbf{T}(F)\backslash\mathbf{G}(F)} \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})}\big(\mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1}\gamma\big)\mathrm{v}_{M_0}(g)\frac{dg}{dt}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})}$ is the characteristic function of the lattice $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})$ in $\mathfrak{g}(F)$, and $\mathrm{v}_{M_0}(g)$ is Arthur's weight factor. One of our main results states that it can be expressed in terms of the number of rational points of the *fundamental domain* F_γ of the affine Springer fiber $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$. The main idea is to count the number of rational points of the truncated affine Springer fibers in two different ways: by the *Arthur-Kottwitz reduction* and by the *Harder-Narasimhan reduction*.

Before entering the details of our approach, we give examples of results that can be obtained in this way. The calculations for the group $G = \mathrm{GL}_2$ is easy, the results are

summarized in theorem 5.1, 5.2. But for the group $G = \mathrm{GL}_3$, the calculations are already quite non-trivial. There are 3 cases to deal with: the element γ can be split, mixed or anisotropic. In all these cases, we are able to calculate $|F_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)|$, and to deduce Arthur's weighted orbital integral from it. When γ is split, it can always be conjugate by the Weyl group such that

$$\mathrm{val}(\alpha_{12}(\gamma)) = \mathrm{val}(\alpha_{13}(\gamma)) \leq \mathrm{val}(\alpha_{23}(\gamma)).$$

We call $(n_1, n_2) = (\mathrm{val}(\alpha_{12}(\gamma)), \mathrm{val}(\alpha_{23}(\gamma)))$ the root valuation of γ.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_3$, T the maximal torus of diagonal matrices. Let $\gamma \in \mathfrak{t}(\mathcal{O})$ be a regular element with root valuation $(n_1, n_2) \in \mathbf{N}^2$, with $n_1 \leq n_2$. Arthur's weighted orbital integral for γ equals*

$$\begin{aligned} J_T(\gamma) &= \mathrm{vol}(\mathfrak{a}_T/X_*(T)) \cdot \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} i(q^{2i-1} + q^{2i-2}) + \sum_{i=n_1+n_2}^{2n_1+n_2-1} (4n_1 + 2n_2 - 4i - 3)q^i \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (n_1^2 + 2n_1 n_2)q^{2n_1+n_2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

When γ is mixed, i.e. the splitting field of γ is isomorphic to $F^\times \times k(\!(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}})\!)^\times$, it can be conjugate to a matrix of the form

$$\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} a & & \\ & & b \\ & b\epsilon & \end{bmatrix}. \tag{1.2}$$

Let $m = \mathrm{val}(a)$, $n = \mathrm{val}(b)$, we have

Theorem 1.2. *Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_3$, let γ be a matrix in the form (1.2). When $\mathrm{val}(a) = m \leq n$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} J_{M_0}(\gamma) &= \mathrm{vol}(\mathfrak{a}_{M_0}/X_*(M_0)) \cdot \left[2mq^{2m+n} + \sum_{j=m+n+1}^{2m+n-1} 2(j-m-n)q^j \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=0}^{2m-1} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) q^j \right]. \end{aligned}$$

When $\mathrm{val}(a) = m > n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_M(\gamma) &= \mathrm{vol}(\mathfrak{a}_{M_0}/X_*(M_0)) \cdot \left[(2n+1)q^{3n+1} + \sum_{j=2n+1}^{3n} (2j-4n-1)q^j \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=0}^{2n} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) q^j \right]. \end{aligned}$$

When γ is anisotropic, Arthur's weighted orbital integral is just the orbital integral, and the result was essentially obtained by Goresky, Kottwitz and MacPherson [GKM2]. See theorem 8.1, 8.2 for the counting result.

Now let me explain our approach to the calculation of Arthur's weighted orbital integrals using the geometry of the affine Springer fibers. The affine Springer fiber $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$ is the closed sub scheme of the affine grassmannian $\mathscr{X} = \mathbf{G}(F)/\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O})$ defined by the equation

$$\mathscr{X}_\gamma = \big\{ g \in \mathbf{G}(F)/\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O}) \,\big|\, \mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})\gamma \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O}) \big\}.$$

To simplify notations, we write $K = \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O})$. They can be used to geometrize Arthur's weighted orbital integrals. The group $\mathbf{T}(F)$ acts on $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$ by left translation. Let $\Lambda = \pi_0(\mathbf{T}(F))$, we can show that

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}(F)\backslash\mathbf{G}(F)} \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})}\big(\mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1}\gamma\big)\mathrm{v}_{M_0}(g)\frac{dg}{dt} = \sum_{[g]\in\Lambda\backslash\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)} \mathrm{v}_{M_0}(g),$$

where $[g]$ denotes the point $gK \in \mathscr{X}$.

But this expression doesn't facilitate the calculations of Arthur's weighted orbital integral. We have to proceed in an indirect way. Let $\xi \in \mathfrak{a}_{M_0}^G$ be a generic element, Laumon and Chaudouard [CL2] introduce a variant of the weighted orbital integral

$$J_{M_0}^\xi(\gamma) = J_{M_0}^\xi(\gamma,\, \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})}) = \int_{\mathbf{T}(F)\backslash\mathbf{G}(F)} \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})}\big(\mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1}\gamma\big)\mathrm{w}_{M_0}^\xi(g)\frac{dg}{dt}, \tag{1.3}$$

with a slightly different weight factor $\mathrm{w}_{M_0}^\xi(g)$. Although the two weight factors are not the same, Laumon and Chaudouard show that

$$J_{M_0}(\gamma) = \mathrm{vol}(\mathfrak{a}_{M_0}/X_*(M_0)) \cdot J_{M_0}^\xi(\gamma).$$

The variant $J_{M_0}^\xi(\gamma)$ has a better geometric interpretation. In fact, we can introduce a notion of ξ-stability on the affine Springer fiber $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$ (when $\mathbf{T}$ splits, this is done in [C2]), and show that

$$J_{M_0}^\xi(\gamma) = |\mathscr{X}_\gamma^\xi(\mathbf{F}_q)|.$$

The advantage of this variant is clear: it is a plain count rather than a weighted count. Moreover, we can use the Harder-Narasimhan reduction to get $|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^\xi(\mathbf{F}_q)|$ recursively from $|\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)|$, if only the latter is finite. Unfortunately, this is not the case, as can be seen from the fact that the free abelian group Λ acts freely on $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$.

Let Π be a positive (G, M_0)-orthogonal family, we can introduce a truncation $\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)$ to overcome the finiteness issue. When Π is sufficiently regular, we can reduce the calculation of the rational points on $\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)$ to that of the *fundamental domain* F_γ, by the *Arthur-Kottwitz reduction*. Recall that the fundamental domain F_γ is introduced in [C3] to play the role of an irreducible component of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$ (All the irreducible components of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$ are isomorphic because Λ acts simply and transitively on them). The Arthur-Kottwitz reduction is a construction that decompose $\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)$ into locally closed sub varieties, which are iterated affine fibrations over the fundamental domains F_γ^M for the Levi subgroups M containing

M_0. The counting result is summarized in theorem 3.4. In particular, it shows that $\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)$ depends quasi-polynomially on the truncation parameter.

On the other hand, the Harder-Narasimhan reduction doesn't behave well on $\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)$. In fact, near the boundary, the Harder-Narasimhan strata are generally not affine fibrations over truncations of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\xi^M}$ for the Levi subgroups M. To overcome this difficulty, we cut $\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)$ into two parts: *the tail* and *the main body*. Roughly speaking, the tail is the union of the "boundary irreducible components" of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)$, and the main body is its complement. The Harder-Narasimhan reduction works well on the main body, and we can use it to count the number of rational points. The result is summarized in theorem 4.7, it can be expressed in terms of $|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\xi^M}(\mathbf{F}_q)|$, M being the Levi subgroups of G containing M_0. The counting points on the tail is more complicated, it spreads over §4.2.2, §4.2.3, §4.2.4. In principle, we can use the Arthur-Kottwitz reduction iteratively to express it in terms of $|F_\gamma^M(\mathbf{F}_q)|$'s. But we are not able to obtain an explicit expression, what we get is only a recursion.

These two different approaches to counting rational points on $\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)$ give us a recursive equation that involves the $|F_\gamma^M(\mathbf{F}_q)|$'s and the $|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\xi^M}(\mathbf{F}_q)|$'s. Solving it, we can express the latter ones in terms of the former ones. The problem of calculating Arthur's weighted orbital integrals is thus reduced to counting points on F_γ. In our point of view, the geometry of F_γ is simpler than that of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma^\xi$. Goresky, Kottwitz and MacPherson have conjectured that the cohomological groups of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$ is pure in the sense of Deligne. As we have shown in [C3], this is equivalent to the cohomological purity of F_γ. In fact, it is even expected that F_γ admits a *Hessenberg paving*. When the torus $\mathbf{T}$ splits, we [C4] make a conjecture on the Poincaré polynomial of F_γ, assuming the cohomological purity of F_γ. This gives a conjectural expression for $|F_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)|$. We reproduce it here for the convenience of the reader.

According to Chaudouard-Laumon [CL1], the homology of the truncated affine Springer fibers can be expressed in terms of its 1-skeleton under the T-action, whenever they are cohomologically pure. We can adapt their result to the fundamental domain F_γ. The torus T acts on F_γ with finitely many fixed points F_γ^T, but the union $F_\gamma^{T,1}$ of the 1-dimensional T-orbits is not discrete. The bigger torus $\widetilde{T} = T \times \mathbb{G}_m$ acts on $F_\gamma^{T,1}$ with finitely many 1-dimensional $\widetilde{T}$-orbits, let $F_\gamma^{\widetilde{T},1}$ be their union. The result of [CL1] states that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^*_{\widetilde{T}}(F_\gamma) \to H^*_{\widetilde{T}}(F_\gamma^{\widetilde{T}}) \to H^*_{\widetilde{T}}(F^{\widetilde{T},1}, F^{\widetilde{T}}),$$

and there is an isomorphism

$$H^*(F_\gamma) = H^*_{\widetilde{T}}(F_\gamma) \otimes_{H^*_{\widetilde{T}}(\mathrm{pt})} \mathbf{Q}_l,$$

where all the cohomology groups take coefficients in $\mathbf{Q}_l$. Let Γ be the graph with vertices $F_\gamma^{\widetilde{T}}$ and with edges $F_\gamma^{\widetilde{T},1}$. Two vertices are linked by an edge if and only if they lie on the closure of the corresponding 1-dimensional $\widetilde{T}$-orbit. We call it the *moment graph* of F_γ with respect to the action of $\widetilde{T}$.

Let $\mathfrak{o}$ be a total order among the vertices of the graph Γ, it will serve as the paving order. We associate to it an *acyclic* oriented graph $(\Gamma, \mathfrak{o})$ such that the source of each arrow is

greater than its target with respect to $\mathfrak{o}$. For $v \in \Gamma$, denote by $n_v^{\mathfrak{o}}$ the number of arrows having source v.

Definition 1.1. The formal Betti number $b_{2i}^{\mathfrak{o}}$ associated to the order $\mathfrak{o}$ is defined as

$$b_{2i}^{\mathfrak{o}} = \sharp\{v \in \Gamma : n_v^{\mathfrak{o}} = i\}.$$

We call

$$P^{\mathfrak{o}}(t) = \sum_i b_{2i}^{\mathfrak{o}} t^{2i}$$

the *formal Poincaré polynomial* associated to the order $\mathfrak{o}$.

Definition 1.2. For $P_1(t)$, $P_2(t) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$, we say that $P_1(t) < P_2(t)$ if the leading coefficient of $P_2(t) - P_1(t)$ is positive.

Conjecture 1.1. Let $P(t)$ be the Poincaré polynomial of F_γ, then

$$P(t) = \min_{\mathfrak{o}}\{P^{\mathfrak{o}}(t)\},$$

where $\mathfrak{o}$ runs through all the total orders among the vertices of Γ.

In particular, the conjecture implies that

$$|F_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)| = \min_{\mathfrak{o}}\{P^{\mathfrak{o}}(q^{1/2})\}.$$

Together with the recurrence relation between $|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^\xi(\mathbf{F}_q)|$ and $|F_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)|$, it gives a conjectural complete answer to the determination of Arthur's weighted orbital integral in the split case.

Notations. We fix a split maximal torus A of G over k. Without loss of generality, we suppose that $A \subset M_0$. Let $\Phi = \Phi(G, A)$ be the root system of G with respect to A, let W be the Weyl group of G with respect to A. For any subgroup H of G which is stable under the conjugation of A, we note $\Phi(H, A)$ for the roots appearing in $\mathrm{Lie}(H)$. We fix a Borel subgroup B_0 of G containing A. Let $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_r\}$ be the set of simple roots with respect to B_0, let $(\varpi_i)_{i=1}^r$ be the corresponding fundamental weights. To an element $\alpha \in \Delta$, we have a unique maximal parabolic subgroup P_α of G containing B_0 such that $\Phi(N_{P_\alpha}, A) \cap \Delta = \alpha$, where N_{P_α} is the unipotent radical of P_α. This gives a bijective correspondence between the simple roots in Δ and the maximal parabolic subgroups of G containing B_0. Any maximal parabolic subgroup P of G is conjugate to certain P_α by an element $w \in W$, the element $w\varpi_\alpha$ doesn't depend on the choice of w, we denote it by ϖ_P.

We use the (G, M) notation of Arthur. Let $\mathcal{F}(A)$ be the set of parabolic subgroups of G containing A, let $\mathcal{L}(A)$ be the set of Levi subgroups of G containing A. For every $M \in \mathcal{L}(A)$, we denote by $\mathcal{P}(M)$ the set of parabolic subgroups of G whose Levi factor is M, and by $\mathcal{F}(M)$ the set of parabolic subgroups of G containing M. For $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, we denote by P^- the opposite of P with respect to M.

Let $X^*(M) = \mathrm{Hom}(M, \mathbb{G}_m)$ and $X_*(M) = \mathrm{Hom}(X^*(M), \mathbf{Z})$. Let $\mathfrak{a}_M^* = X^*(M) \otimes \mathbf{R}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_M = X_*(M) \otimes \mathbf{R}$. The restriction $X^*(M) \to X^*(A)$ induces an injection $\mathfrak{a}_M^* \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{a}_A^*$. Let $(\mathfrak{a}_A^M)^*$ be the subspace of $\mathfrak{a}_A^*$ generated by $\Phi(M, A)$. We have the decomposition in direct sums

$$\mathfrak{a}_A^* = (\mathfrak{a}_A^M)^* \oplus \mathfrak{a}_M^*.$$

The canonical pairing $X_*(A)\times X^*(A)\to \mathbf{Z}$ can be extended bilinearly to $\mathfrak{a}_A\times\mathfrak{a}_A^*\to\mathbf{R}$. For $M\in\mathcal{L}(A)$, we can embed $\mathfrak{a}_M$ in $\mathfrak{a}_A$ as the orthogonal subspace to $(\mathfrak{a}_A^M)^*$. Let $\mathfrak{a}_A^M\subset\mathfrak{a}_A$ be the subspace orthogonal to $\mathfrak{a}_M^*$. We have the dual decomposition

$$\mathfrak{a}_A=\mathfrak{a}_M\oplus\mathfrak{a}_A^M,$$

let π_M, π^M be the projections to the two factors. More generally, for $L,M\in\mathcal{F}(A)$, $M\subset L$, we also have a decomposition

$$\mathfrak{a}_M^G=\mathfrak{a}_L^G\oplus\mathfrak{a}_M^L.$$

To save notation, we also write π_L, π^L for the projections to the two factors.

We identify $X_*(A)$ with $A(F)/A(\mathcal{O})$ by sending χ to $\chi(\epsilon)$. With this identification, the canonical surjection $A(F)\to A(F)/A(\mathcal{O})$ can be viewed as

$$A(F)\to X_*(A). \tag{1.4}$$

We use Λ_G to denote the quotient of $X_*(A)$ by the coroot lattice of G (the subgroup of $X_*(A)$ generated by the coroots of A in G). We have a canonical homomorphism

$$G(F)\to\Lambda_G, \tag{1.5}$$

which is characterised by the following properties: it is trivial on the image of $G_{\mathrm{sc}}(F)$ in $G(F)$ (G_{sc} is the simply connected cover of the derived group of G), and its restriction to $A(F)$ coincides with the composition of (1.4) with the projection of $X_*(A)$ to Λ_G. Since the morphism (1.5) is trivial on $G(\mathcal{O})$, it descends to a map

$$\nu_G:\mathscr{X}\to\Lambda_G,$$

whose fibers are the connected components of $\mathscr{X}$.

Finally, we suppose that $\gamma\in\mathfrak{t}(\mathcal{O})$ satisfies $\gamma\equiv 0 \mod\epsilon$ to avoid unnecessary complications.

Acknowledgements. We want to thank Gérard Laumon for the discussions which have led to this work.

2. (Weighted) orbital integrals and the affine Springer fibers

We recall briefly the geometrization of the (weighted) orbital integrals using the affine Springer fibers. We fix a regular element $\gamma\in\mathfrak{t}(\mathcal{O})$ as in the introduction. Let $P_0=M_0N_0$ be the unique element in $\mathcal{P}(M_0)$ which contains B_0.

2.1. **Orbital integrals.** Consider the orbital integral

$$I_\gamma^G=\int_{\mathbf{T}(F)\backslash\mathbf{G}(F)}\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})}\big(\mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1}\gamma\big)\frac{dg}{dt}.$$

Use the translation invariance of the Haar measure, we can rewrite it as

$$\begin{aligned} I_\gamma^G &= dt(\Lambda\backslash\mathbf{T}(F))^{-1}\int_{\Lambda\backslash\mathbf{G}(F)} \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})}\big(\mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1}\gamma\big)\frac{dg}{dt} \\ &= \frac{dg(\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O}))}{dt(\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{O}))}\sum_{g\in\Lambda\backslash\mathbf{G}(F)/\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O})} \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})}\big(\mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1}\gamma\big) \\ &= \big|\Lambda\backslash\{g\in\mathbf{G}(F)/\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O}) \mid \mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1}\gamma\in\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})\}\big| \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

The set at the end of the equation can be given a scheme structure over k. In fact, there is an ind-k-scheme $\mathscr{X}$, called the *affine grassmannian*, which satisfies

$$\mathscr{X}(\mathbf{F}_{q^n}) = \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{F}_{q^n}(\!(\epsilon)\!))/\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{F}_{q^n}[\![\epsilon]\!]), \quad \forall\, n\in\mathbf{N}.$$

This can be written succinctly as $\mathscr{X} = G(\!(\epsilon)\!)/G[\![\epsilon]\!]$. The *affine Springer fiber* at γ is the closed sub-ind-k-scheme of $\mathscr{X}$ defined by

$$\mathscr{X}_\gamma = \big\{g\in G(\!(\epsilon)\!)/G[\![\epsilon]\!] \,\big|\, \mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})\gamma\in\mathfrak{g}[\![\epsilon]\!]\big\}.$$

It is in fact a scheme over k of finite dimension, but it is generally not of finite type. The free discret abelian group Λ acts freely on it, and the quotient $\Lambda\backslash\mathscr{X}_\gamma$ is projective over k. The equation (2.1) can be written as

$$I_\gamma^G = |\Lambda\backslash\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)|. \tag{2.2}$$

The calculation of I_γ^G can be reduced to that of $I_\gamma^{M_0}$. Recall that for $P=MN\in\mathcal{F}(A)$, we have the retraction

$$f_P : \mathscr{X}\to\mathscr{X}^M$$

which sends $[g]=gK$ to $[m]:=mM(\mathcal{O})$, where $g=nmk$, $n\in N(F)$, $m\in M(F)$, $k\in K$ is the Iwasawa decomposition. We want to point out that the retraction f_P is not a morphism between ind-k-schemes, but its restriction to the inverse image of each connected component of $\mathscr{X}^M$:

$$f_P : f_P^{-1}(\mathscr{X}^{M,\nu})\to\mathscr{X}^{M,\nu}, \quad \nu\in\Lambda_M,$$

is actually a morphism over k between ind-k-schemes.

More generally we can define $f_{P_L}^L : \mathscr{X}^L\to\mathscr{X}^M$ for $L\in\mathcal{L}(A)$, $L\supset M$ and $P_L\in\mathcal{P}^L(M)$. These retractions satisfy the transitivity property: Suppose that $Q\in\mathcal{P}(L)$ satisfies $Q\supset P$, then

$$f_P = f_{P\cap L}^L\circ f_Q.$$

Now take $P=MN\in\mathcal{F}(M_0)$, the retraction f_P sends $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$ to $\mathscr{X}_\gamma^M$. To see this, for $[g]\in\mathscr{X}_\gamma$, let $g=nmk$ be the Iwasawa decomposition as above. We can write $g=mn'k$ with $n'=m^{-1}nm\in N(F)$. The equation $\mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1}\gamma\in\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})$ implies

$$\mathrm{Ad}(m)^{-1}\gamma\in\mathrm{Ad}(n')\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})\cap\mathfrak{m}(F)=\mathfrak{m}(\mathcal{O}),$$

which means that $f_P([g])=[m]\in\mathscr{X}_\gamma^M$.

Proposition 2.1 (Kazhdan-Lusztig). *For any $\nu \in \Lambda_M$, the retraction*

$$f_P : \mathscr{X}_\gamma \cap f_P^{-1}(\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\nu}) \to \mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\nu}$$

is an iterated affine fibration over k of relative dimension

$$\mathrm{val}(\det(\mathrm{ad}(\gamma)|\mathfrak{n}(F))).$$

In particular, for $P = M_0N \in \mathcal{P}(M_0)$, $\nu \in \Lambda_{M_0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_\gamma^G &= |\Lambda\backslash\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)| \\ &= |(\mathscr{X}_\gamma \cap f_P^{-1}(\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M_0,\nu}))(\mathbf{F}_q)| \\ &= q^{\mathrm{val}(\det(\mathrm{ad}(\gamma)|\mathfrak{n}(F)))} \cdot |(\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M_0,\nu})(\mathbf{F}_q)| \\ &= q^{\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{val}(\det(\mathrm{ad}(\gamma)|\mathfrak{g}_F/\mathfrak{m}_{0,F}))} \cdot I_\gamma^{M_0}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where the first equality is due to the fact that $\Lambda \cong \Lambda_{M_0}$, and the last equality is because γ is anisotropic in $M_0(F)$.

2.2. **Arthur's weighted orbital integral.** Now consider Arthur's weighted orbital integral (1.1), we need to explain the weight factor $\mathrm{v}_{M_0}(g)$.

2.2.1. *The weight factor* v_{M_0}. Roughly speaking, the weight factor $\mathrm{v}_{M_0}(g)$ is the volume of a polytope in $\mathfrak{a}_{M_0}^G$ generated by the point $[g] \in \mathscr{X}$.

For $P = MN \in \mathcal{F}(A)$, let $H_P : \mathscr{X} \to \mathfrak{a}_M^G$ be the composition

$$H_P : \mathscr{X} \xrightarrow{f_P} \mathscr{X}^M \xrightarrow{\nu_M} \Lambda_M \to \mathfrak{a}_M^G.$$

It has the following remarkable property. There is a notion of adjacency among the parabolic subgroups in $\mathcal{P}(M)$: Two parabolic subgroups $P_1 = MN_1$, $P_2 = MN_2 \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ are said to be *adjacent* if both of them are contained in a parabolic subgroup $Q = LU$ such that $L \supset M$ and $\mathrm{rk}(L) = \mathrm{rk}(M) + 1$. Given such an adjacent pair, we define an element $\beta_{P_1,P_2} \in \Lambda_M$ in the following way: Consider the collection of elements in Λ_M obtained from coroots of A in $\mathfrak{n}_1 \cap \mathfrak{n}_2^-$, we define β_{P_1,P_2} to be the minimal element in this collection, i.e. all the other elements are positive integral multiples of it. Note that $\beta_{P_2,P_1} = -\beta_{P_1,P_2}$, and if $M = A$, then β_{P_1,P_2} is the unique coroot which is positive for P_1 and negative for P_2.

Proposition 2.2 (Arthur[A]). *Let P_1, $P_2 \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ be two adjacent parabolic subgroups. For any $x \in \mathscr{X}$, we have*

$$H_{P_1}(x) - H_{P_2}(x) = n(x, P_1, P_2) \cdot \beta_{P_1,P_2},$$

with $n(x, P_1, P_2) \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

For any point $x \in \mathscr{X}$, we write $\mathrm{Ec}_M(x)$ for the convex hull in $\mathfrak{a}_M^G$ of the $H_P(x)$, $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$. For any $Q \in \mathcal{F}(M)$, we denote by $\mathrm{Ec}_M^Q(x)$ the face of $\mathrm{Ec}_M(x)$ whose vertices are $H_P(x)$, $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, $P \subset Q$. When $M = A$, we omit the subscript A to simplify the notation.

To define the volume, we need to choose a Lebesgue measure on $\mathfrak{a}_{M_0}^G$. We fix a W-invariant inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on the vector space $\mathfrak{a}_A^G$. Notice that $\mathfrak{a}_A^M$ and $\mathfrak{a}_M^G$ are orthogonal

to each other with respect to the inner product for any $M \in \mathcal{L}(A)$. We fix a Lebesgue measure on $\mathfrak{a}_{M_0}^G$ normalised by the condition that the lattice generated by the orthonormal bases in $\mathfrak{a}_{M_0}^G$ has covolume 1.

The weight factor $\mathrm{v}_{M_0}(g)$ is the volume of the polytope $\mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}([g])$ in $\mathfrak{a}_{M_0}^G$. It has the following invariance properties: It is invariant under the right action of K, i.e.

$$\mathrm{v}_{M_0}(gk) = \mathrm{v}_{M_0}(g), \quad \forall\, k \in K.$$

This is evident from the definition of $\mathrm{v}_{M_0}(g)$. It is not so evident but also true that

$$\mathrm{v}_{M_0}(tg) = \mathrm{v}_{M_0}(g), \quad \forall\, t \in \mathbf{T}(F).$$

In fact, for any $P \in \mathcal{P}(M_0)$, we have

$$f_P(tg) = tf_P(g), \quad \forall\, t \in \mathbf{T}(F).$$

If we write $t = \epsilon^\lambda t_0$, with $\lambda \in \Lambda_{M_0}$ and $t_0 \in \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{O})$, then

$$\mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}(tg) = \mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}(g) + \lambda.$$

In particular, they have the same volume.

Using the invariance properties of $\mathrm{v}_{M_0}(g)$ and the fact that $dg(\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O})) = dt(\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{O})) = 1$, we can rewrite Arthur's weighted orbital integral as

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}(F)\backslash\mathbf{G}(F)} \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})}\big(\mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1}\gamma\big)\mathrm{v}_{M_0}(g)\frac{dg}{dt} = \sum_{[g]\in\Lambda\backslash\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)} \mathrm{v}_{M_0}(g),$$

i.e. it is a weighted count of the rational points on the affine Springer fiber.

2.2.2. *A variant.* In their work on the weighted fundamental lemma [CL2], Laumon and Chaudouard introduce the following variant of the weighted orbital integral. Let $\xi \in \mathfrak{a}_{M_0}^G$ be a generic element. For $g \in G(F)$, they introduce the weight factor

$$\mathrm{w}_{M_0}^\xi(g) = |\{\lambda \in \Lambda_{M_0} \mid \lambda + \xi \in \mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}([g])\}|.$$

It is the number of integral points in the polytope $\mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}([g]) - \xi$. Similar to $\mathrm{v}_{M_0}(g)$, the weight factor $\mathrm{w}_{M_0}^\xi(g)$ is invariant under the right action of K and the left action of $\mathbf{T}(F)$.

Consider the weighted orbital integral

$$J_{M_0}^\xi(\gamma) = \int_{\mathbf{T}(F)\backslash\mathbf{G}(F)} \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})}\big(\mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1}\gamma\big)\mathrm{w}_{M_0}^\xi(g)\frac{dg}{dt}.$$

Using the invariance properties of $\mathrm{w}_{M_0}^\xi(g)$ and the fact that $dg(\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O})) = dt(\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{O})) = 1$, we can rewrite it as

$$\begin{aligned} J_{M_0}^\xi(\gamma) &= \int_{\mathbf{T}(F)\backslash\mathbf{G}(F)} \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})}\big(\mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1}\gamma\big)\mathrm{w}_{M_0}^\xi(g)\frac{dg}{dt} \\ &= dt(\Lambda\backslash\mathbf{T}(F))^{-1}\int_{\Lambda\backslash\mathbf{G}(F)} \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})}\big(\mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1}\gamma\big)\mathrm{w}_{M_0}^\xi(g)\frac{dg}{dt} \\ &= \sum_{[g]\in\Lambda\backslash\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)} \mathrm{w}_{M_0}^\xi(g). \end{aligned}$$

Because

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{w}^{\xi}_{M_0}(g) &= |\{\lambda \in \Lambda_{M_0} \mid \lambda + \xi \in \mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}([g])\}| \\ &= |\{\lambda' \in \Lambda \mid \xi \in \mathrm{Ec}(\lambda'^{-1}[g])\}|, \end{aligned}$$

we can further rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} J^{\xi}_{M_0}(\gamma) &= \sum_{[g] \in \Lambda \backslash \mathscr{X}_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)} \mathrm{w}^{\xi}_{M_0}(g) \\ &= \sum_{[g] \in \Lambda \backslash \mathscr{X}_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)} |\{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \xi \in \mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}(\lambda^{-1}[g])\}| \\ &= |\{[g] \in \mathscr{X}^{\nu}_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q) \mid \xi \in \mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}([g])\}|, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where $\nu \in \Lambda_{M_0}$ is an arbitrary element.

In particular, it is a plain count of a subset of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)$. In §4.1, we will see that the condition $\xi \in \mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}([g])$ behaves as a stability condition (We believe that it is in fact a stability condition in the sense of GIT). In particular, there is a Harde-Narasimhan type decomposition of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$ associated with it.

Remark 2.1. It is now the time to explain why we make the assumption that the splitting field of $\mathbf{T}(F)$ is totally ramified over F. Without this assumption, the Frobenius $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ acts non-trivially on Λ. We can only rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} J^{\xi}_{M_0}(\gamma) &= dt(\Lambda^\sigma \backslash \mathbf{T}(F))^{-1} \int_{\Lambda^\sigma \backslash \mathbf{G}(F)} \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})}\big(\mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1}\gamma\big) \mathrm{w}^{\xi}_{M_0}(g) \frac{dg}{dt} \\ &= \sum_{[g] \in \Lambda^\sigma \backslash \mathscr{X}_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)} \mathrm{w}^{\xi}_{M_0}(g). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, it is no longer equal to

$$|\{[g] \in \mathscr{X}^{\nu}_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q) \mid \xi \in \mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}([g])\}|.$$

Proposition 2.3 (Chaudouard-Laumon [CL2])**.** *We have the equality*

$$J_{M_0}(\gamma) = \mathrm{vol}(\mathfrak{a}_M / X_*(M)) \cdot J^{\xi}_{M_0}(\gamma).$$

Their original statement is for the ring of adèles, but the proof carries over to local fields word by word. We refer the reader to [CL2], §11.10–§11.14 for the proof.

3. Counting points by Arthur-Kottwitz reduction

Let Π be a sufficiently regular positive (G, M_0)-orthogonal family. We count the number of rational points over $\mathbf{F}_q$ of any connected component $\mathscr{X}^{\nu_0}_\gamma(\Pi)$, $\nu_0 \in \Lambda_{M_0}$. Our result shows that it can be reduced to counting points on the fundamental domains F^M_γ, $M \in \mathcal{L}(M_0)$, and the counting result depends *quasi-polynomially* on the truncation parameter.

3.1. **Truncations on the affine grassmannian.** Recall that every point $[g] \in \mathscr{X}$ generates a polytope $\mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}(g)$ in $\mathfrak{a}_{M_0}^G$, we can use it to make truncations on the affine grassmannian.

Definition 3.1. A family $\Pi = (\lambda_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}(M_0)}$ of elements in $\mathfrak{a}_{M_0}^G$ is called a *positive* (G, M_0)-*orthogonal family* if it satisfies

$$\lambda_{P_1} - \lambda_{P_2} = n_{P_1,P_2} \cdot \beta_{P_1,P_2}, \quad \text{with } n_{P_1,P_2} \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0},$$

for any two adjacent parabolic subgroups P_1, $P_2 \in \mathcal{P}(M_0)$. It will be called *integral* if all the λ_P lies in Λ_{M_0}.

Given such a positive (G, M_0)-orthogonal family, we will denote again by Π the convex hull of the λ_P's. For $Q = LU \in \mathcal{F}(M_0)$, parallel to $\mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}^Q(x)$, we denote by Π^Q the face of Π whose vertices are λ_P, $P \in \mathcal{P}(M_0)$, $P \subset Q$. With the projection π^L, it can be seen as a positive (L, M_0)-orthogonal family. This sets up a bijection between the set $\mathcal{F}(M_0)$ and the set of the faces of Π. Later on, we also use the notation $(\lambda_{\bar{w}})_{\bar{w} \in W/W_{M_0}}$ for $(\lambda_{\bar{w} \cdot P_0})_{\bar{w} \in W/W_{M_0}}$, and we use the notation $\lambda_w(\Pi)$ or $\lambda_{w \cdot P_0}(\Pi)$ to indicate the vertex of Π indexed by $w \cdot P_0$. For $P = MN \in \mathcal{F}(M_0)$, we denote by $\lambda_P(\Pi)$ the element $\pi_M(\lambda_{P'})$ for any $P' \in \mathcal{P}(M_0)$, $P' \subset P$.

Following Chaudouard and Laumon [CL1], we define the *truncated affine grassmannian* $\mathscr{X}(\Pi)$ to be

$$\mathscr{X}(\Pi) = \big\{ x \in \mathscr{X} \;\big|\; \mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}(x) \subset \Pi \big\}.$$

We want to point out that its connected components are also parametrized by Λ_G, but they are not isomorphic in general. However, there is periodicity in the connected components: Let G^{ad} be the adjoint group of G, let $c_G : \Lambda_G \to \Lambda_{G^{\mathrm{ad}}}$ be the projection induced by the natural projection $T \to T/Z_G$. For $\nu, \nu' \in \Lambda_G$, we have

$$\mathscr{X}^{\nu}(\Pi) = \mathscr{X}^{\nu'}(\Pi), \quad \text{if } c_G(\nu) = c_G(\nu'),$$

because they can be translated to each other by elements in $Z_G(F)$.

For regular element $\gamma \in \mathfrak{t}(\mathcal{O})$, we can truncate the affine Springer fiber $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$ similarly by defining

$$\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi) = \mathscr{X}_\gamma \cap \mathscr{X}(\Pi),$$

and the same observation on the connected components of $\mathscr{X}(\Pi)$ holds also for $\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)$.

3.2. **The fundamental domain.** The affine Springer fibers have a large symmetry group. The group $T(F)$ acts on $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$ with one of its orbits being dense open in $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$. So the free abelian discrete group $\Lambda = \pi_0(T(F))$ acts simply and transitively on the irreducible components of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$. In [C3], we construct a fundamental domain F_γ of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$ with respect to the action of Λ, which is meant to play the role of an irreducible component of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$ (All the irreducible components of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$ are isomorphic by the Λ-action).

Let $\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{\mathrm{reg}}$ be the unique dense open orbit under the action of $T(F)$ on $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$. A point $x = gK \in \mathscr{X}_\gamma$ belongs to $\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{\mathrm{reg}}$ if and only if the image of $\mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})\gamma$ under the reduction $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O}) \to \mathfrak{g}(k)$ is regular.

Goresky, Kottwitz and MacPherson [GKM3] have given a characterization of the regular points in $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$. Let $P_1 = M_0N_1, P_2 = M_0N_2 \in \mathcal{P}(M_0)$ be two adjacent parabolic subgroups.

Let m_α be the unique positive integer such that the image of $\alpha^\vee$ in Λ_{M_0} is equal to $m_\alpha \cdot \beta_{P_1,P_2}$. Let

$$n(\gamma, P_1, P_2) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi(N_1, T_{\overline{F}}) \cap \Phi(N_2^-, T_{\overline{F}})} \mathrm{val}(\alpha(\gamma)) \cdot m_\alpha.$$

It can be verified that $n(\gamma, P_1, P_2)$ is an integer.

Proposition 3.1 (Goresky-Kottwitz-MacPherson)**.** *Let $x \in \mathscr{X}_\gamma$.*

(1) *For any two adjacent parabolic subgroups $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}(M_0)$, we have*

$$n(x, P_1, P_2) \leq n(\gamma, P_1, P_2).$$

(2) *The point x is regular in $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$ if and only if the following two conditions hold:*
   (a) *the point $f_P(x)$ is regular in $\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M_0}$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}(M_0)$;*
   (b) *for any two adjacent parabolic subgroups P_1, P_2 in $\mathcal{P}(M_0)$, one has*

$$n(x, P_1, P_2) = n(\gamma, P_1, P_2).$$

We want to point out that although Goresky, Kottwitz and MacPherson work over the field $k = \mathbf{C}$, their proof works for any field. Moreover, it may happen that $\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{\mathrm{reg}}(k)$ is empty if k is not algebraically closed. Their result motivates our definition:

Definition 3.2. Take a regular point $x_0 \in \mathscr{X}_\gamma^{\mathrm{reg}}$. Let

$$F_\gamma = \{x \in \mathscr{X}_\gamma \mid \mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}(x) \subset \mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}(x_0),\ \nu_G(x) = \nu_G(x_0)\}.$$

We call it *the fundamental domain* of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$, and we call $\mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}(x_0)$ the moment polytope of F_γ.

The fundamental domain F_γ is a projective algebraic variety, it doesn't depend on the choice of the regular point x_0. To simplify things, we assume from now on that $x_0 \in \mathscr{X}_\gamma^0$, and we denote by Σ_γ the polytope $\mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}(x_0)$. In the following, we also need to work with the other connected components of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Sigma_\gamma)$. For $\nu \in \Lambda_G$, let

$$F_\gamma^\nu := \mathscr{X}_\gamma^\nu(\Sigma_\gamma).$$

As we have explained before, it depends only on the class $c_G(\nu) \in \Lambda_{G^{\mathrm{ad}}}$.

3.3. **The Arthur-Kottwitz reduction.** The importance of the fundamental domain is that, for a sufficiently regular positive (G, M_0)-orthogonal family Π, we can decompose the truncated affine Springer fibers $\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)$ into locally closed sub varieties, which are iterated affine fibrations over the fundamental domains F_γ^M for the Levi subgroups $M \in \mathcal{L}(M_0)$. This is called the *Arthur-Kottwitz* reduction. It reduces the study of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)$ to that of F_γ^M. In particular, we can express the number of points $|\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)(\mathbf{F}_q)|$ in terms of the $|F_\gamma^M(\mathbf{F}_q)|$'s. We recall briefly how it works. For more details, the reader is referred to [C3].

Let $\varsigma \in \mathfrak{a}_{M_0}^G$ be such that $\alpha(\varsigma)$ is positive but almost equal to 0 for any $\alpha \in \Delta \cap \Phi(N_{P_0}, A)$. Let $D_0 = (\lambda_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}(M_0)}$ be the (G, M_0)-orthogonal family given by

$$\lambda_P = H_P(x_0) + w \cdot \varsigma,$$

where $w \in W$ is any element satisfying $P = w \cdot P_0$. For $Q = MN \in \mathcal{F}(M_0)$, define R_Q to be the subset of $\mathfrak{a}^G_{M_0}$ satisfying conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^M(a) &\subset D_0^Q; \\ \alpha(\pi_M(a)) &\geq \alpha(\pi_M(\lambda_P)), \forall\, \alpha \in \Phi(N, A), \forall\, P \in \mathcal{P}(M_0),\ P \subset Q. \end{aligned}$$

This gives us a partition

$$\mathfrak{a}^G_{M_0} = \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{F}(M_0)} R_Q. \tag{3.1}$$

Notice that $R_G = D_0$. The Fig. 1 gives an illustration of the partition for the group GL_3 and $M_0 = T = A$. The partition (3.1) induces a disjoint partition of Λ_{M_0} via the map $\Lambda_{M_0} \to \mathfrak{a}^G_{M_0}$, as we have perturbed $(H_P(x_0))_{P \in \mathcal{P}(M_0)}$ with ς.



FIGURE 1. Partition of $\mathfrak{a}^G_A$ for GL_3.

The key observation is the following lemma, which is essentially a corollary of proposition 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. *For any $x \in \mathscr{X}_\gamma$, there exists a unique $Q \in \mathcal{F}(M_0)$ such that $\mathrm{Ec}^Q_{M_0}(x) \subset R_Q$.*

Let

$$S_Q := \{x \in \mathscr{X}_\gamma \mid \mathrm{Ec}^Q_{M_0}(x) \subset R_Q\}.$$

We get thus a disjoint partition

$$\mathscr{X}_\gamma = \mathscr{X}_\gamma(D_0) \cup \bigcup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{F}(M_0) \\ Q \neq G}} S_Q. \tag{3.2}$$

For each parabolic subgroup $Q = MN \in \mathcal{F}(M_0)$, consider the restriction of the retraction $f_Q : \mathscr{X} \to \mathscr{X}^M$ to S_Q, its image is $S_Q \cap \mathscr{X}^M$. Recall that the connected components of $\mathscr{X}^M$ are fibers of the map $\nu_M : \mathscr{X}^M \to \Lambda_M$. For $\nu \in \Lambda_M$, let $\mathscr{X}^{M,\nu}$ be its fiber at ν. Let $S_Q^\nu = S_Q \cap f_Q^{-1}(\mathscr{X}^{M,\nu})$, we have $S_Q \cap \mathscr{X}^{M,\nu} = \mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\nu}(D_0^Q)$.

Proposition 3.3. *The strata S_Q^ν are locally closed sub varieties of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$, and the retraction $f_Q : S_Q^\nu \to \mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\nu}(D_0^Q)$ is an iterated affine fibration over k of dimension*

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{val}(\det(\mathrm{ad}(\gamma \mid \mathfrak{g}_F/\mathfrak{m}_F))).$$

We want to explain where comes the iterated affine fibration in the proposition. By proposition 3.1, we have

$$S_Q^\nu = \mathscr{X}_\gamma \cap f_Q^{-1}(\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\nu}(D_0^Q)).$$

It is an iterated affine fibration over k by proposition 2.1.

So the decomposition (3.2) can be refined to

$$\mathscr{X}_\gamma = \mathscr{X}_\gamma(D_0) \cup \bigcup_{\substack{Q=MN\in\mathcal{F}(M_0)\\ Q\neq G}} \bigcup_{\nu\in\Lambda_M\cap\pi_M(R_Q)} S_Q^\nu. \tag{3.3}$$

We call it *the Arthur-Kottwitz reduction*. Notice that the stratum S_Q^ν is an iterated affine fibration over $\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\nu}(D_0^Q)$, which is not necessary isomorphic to F_γ^M. However, they are related to each other by a process similar to the Arthur-Kottwitz reduction, as is explained in lemma 3.4 of [C3].

We can restrict the Arthur-Kottwitz reduction to the truncated affine Springer fibers. A positive (G, M_0)-orthogonal family $\Pi = (\mu_P)_{P\in\mathcal{P}(M_0)}$ is said to be *regular* with respect to D_0 if $\mu_P \in R_P$, $\forall\, P \in \mathcal{P}(M_0)$. In this case, each S_Q^ν is either contained in $\mathscr{X}_\gamma^\nu(\Pi)$ or disjoint from it. So we have

$$\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi) = \mathscr{X}_\gamma(D_0) \cup \bigcup_{\substack{Q=MN\in\mathcal{F}(M_0)\\ Q\neq G}} \bigcup_{\substack{\nu\in\Lambda_M\cap\pi_M(R_Q)\\ \cap\pi_M(\Pi)}} S_Q^\nu. \tag{3.4}$$

The reduction can be further restricted to each connected component of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)$. For $\nu_0 \in \Lambda_G$, let $\Lambda_M^{\nu_0}$ be the pre-image of ν_0 under the natural projection $\Lambda_M \to \Lambda_G$. We have

$$\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{\nu_0}(\Pi) = \mathscr{X}_\gamma^{\nu_0}(D_0) \cup \bigcup_{\substack{Q=MN\in\mathcal{F}(M_0)\\ Q\neq G}} \bigcup_{\substack{\nu\in\Lambda_M^{\nu_0}\cap\pi_M(R_Q)\\ \cap\pi_M(\Pi)}} S_Q^\nu. \tag{3.5}$$

Remark 3.1. As the main ingredient in the proof of lemma 3.2 and proposition 3.3 is the bound on $\mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}(x)$ given by proposition 3.1, they continue to hold if we replace Σ_γ by any integral positive (G, T)-orthogonal family Σ which satisfies

$$\lambda_{P_1}(\Sigma) - \lambda_{P_2}(\Sigma) = n_{P_1,P_2} \cdot \beta_{P_1,P_2}, \quad \text{with } n_{P_1,P_2} \geq n(\gamma, P_1, P_2), \tag{3.6}$$

for any two adjacent parabolic subgroups $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}(M_0)$. Consequently, all the above constructions carry over to Σ. The resulting decomposition will still be called the Arthur-Kottwitz reduction.

3.4. **Counting points.** Let $\Delta_{P_0} := \Phi(N_0, A) \cap \Delta = \{\alpha_{i_1}, \cdots, \alpha_{i_d}\}$, let $(a_1, \cdots, a_d) \in \mathbf{N}^d$, let Π be the positive (G, M_0)-orthogonal family defined by

$$\lambda_{\bar{w}}(\Pi) = \lambda_{\bar{w}}(\Sigma_\gamma) + \bar{w} \sum_{j=1}^{d} a_j \cdot \pi_{M_0}(\alpha_{i_j}^\vee), \quad \forall\, \bar{w} \in W/W_{M_0}. \tag{3.7}$$

We assume that Π is Σ_γ-regular, i.e. that the a_i's satisfy the condition

$$\Big\langle \sum_{j=1}^{d} a_j \cdot \pi_{M_0}(\alpha_{i_j}^\vee),\, \alpha \Big\rangle > 0, \quad \forall\, \alpha \in \Phi(N_0, T).$$

For $\nu_0 \in \Lambda_G$, let

$$Q_\gamma^{\nu_0}(a_1, \cdots, a_d) = |\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{(\nu_0)}(\Pi)(\mathbf{F}_q)|.$$

We can calculate it using the Arthur-Kottwitz reduction.

For $\nu \in \Lambda_G$, let $q_\gamma^\nu = |F_\gamma^\nu(\mathbf{F}_q)|$. Recall that it depends only on the class $\mu = c_G(\nu) \in \Lambda_{G^{\mathrm{ad}}}$, so we also denote it by q_γ^μ. Notice that $\Lambda_{G^{\mathrm{ad}}}$ is of finite cardinal. By the decomposition (3.5) and proposition 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_\gamma^{\nu_0}(a_1, \cdots, a_d) &= q_\gamma^{\nu_0} + \sum_{\substack{P=MN \in \mathcal{F}(M_0) \\ P \neq G}} \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \Lambda_M^{\nu_0} \cap \pi_M(R_P) \\ \cap \pi_M(\Pi)}} q_\gamma^{M,\nu} \cdot q^{\mathrm{val}(\det(\mathrm{ad}\gamma|\mathfrak{n}_F))} \\
&= q_\gamma^{\nu_0} + \sum_{\substack{P=MN \in \mathcal{F}(M_0) \\ P \neq G}} \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_{M^{\mathrm{ad}}}} \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \Lambda_M^{\nu_0} \cap \pi_M(R_P) \\ \cap \pi_M(\Pi) \cap c_M^{-1}(\mu)}} q_\gamma^{M,\mu} \cdot q^{\mathrm{val}(\det(\mathrm{ad}\gamma|\mathfrak{n}_F))} \\
&= q_\gamma^{\nu_0} + \sum_{\substack{P=MN \in \mathcal{F}(M_0) \\ P \neq G}} \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_{M^{\mathrm{ad}}}} q_\gamma^{M,\mu} \cdot q^{\mathrm{val}(\det(\mathrm{ad}\gamma|\mathfrak{n}_F))} \cdot \\
&\qquad |\Lambda_M^{\nu_0} \cap \pi_M(R_P) \cap \pi_M(\Pi) \cap c_M^{-1}(\mu)|.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Notice that there is only finitely many summands in the last equation. Look at the last term in the last equation. The intersection $\Lambda_M^{\nu_0} \cap c_M^{-1}(\mu)$ is a lattice in $\mathfrak{a}_M^G$, and the intersection $\pi_M(R_P) \cap \pi_M(\Pi)$ is a polytope in $\mathfrak{a}_M^G$. So the last term counts the number of lattice points in a polytope. As is well known, we can use techniques from toric geometry to solve this kind of problem, and the result depends *quasi-polynomially* on the size of the polytope. In our setting, it depends quasi-polynomially on the truncation parameter $(a_1, \cdots, a_d)$. Recall that a function $f : \mathbf{N}^d \to \mathbf{C}$ is said to be *quasi-polynomial* if it is of the form

$$f(n_1, \cdots, n_d) = \sum_{i_1=0}^{r_1} \cdots \sum_{i_d=0}^{r_d} c_{i_1, \cdots, i_d}(n_1, \cdots, n_d) n_1^{i_1} \cdots n_d^{i_d}.$$

where each $c_{i_1,\cdots,i_d}$ is periodic in its d variables (with integer period), and where $c_{r_1,\cdots,r_d}$ is not identically zero. In other words, there exists an integer $N > 0$ such that f becomes a polynomial when restricted to each residue class of $(n_1, \cdots, n_d) \mod N$. The basic idea is the following: To a lattice polytope, we can associate a toric variety equipped with an effective torus-invariant divisor. The number of lattice points in the lattice polytope is equal to the dimension of the global sections of the line bundle associated to the divisor, which can be calculated by Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem. In particular, this implies a *polynomial* dependence of the counting result on the lattice polytope. We refer the reader to [C3], §4.4, for a brief summary of the method. In our setting, the polytope $\pi_M(R_P) \cap \pi_M(\Pi)$ is almost a lattice polytope for the lattice $\Lambda_M^{\nu_0}$, but not necessarily that for $\Lambda_M^{\nu_0} \cap c_M^{-1}(\mu)$, this explains the *quasi-polynomial* instead of *polynomial* dependence of the counting result on the truncation parameter.

We summarise the above discussion in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *Let Π be the positive (G, M_0)-orthogonal family defined by (3.7), let $\nu_0 \in \Lambda_G$, we have*

$$|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{\nu_0}(\Pi)(\mathbf{F}_q)| = |F_\gamma^{\nu_0}(\mathbf{F}_q)| + \sum_{\substack{P=MN \in \mathcal{F}(M_0) \\ P \neq G}} \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_{M^{\mathrm{ad}}}} |F_\gamma^{M,\mu}(\mathbf{F}_q)| \cdot q^{\mathrm{val}(\det(\mathrm{ad}\gamma|\mathfrak{n}_F))} \cdot |\Lambda_M^{\nu_0} \cap \pi_M(R_P) \cap \pi_M(\Pi) \cap c_M^{-1}(\mu)|.$$

In particular, it depends quasi-polynomially on $(a_1, \cdots, a_d)$.

4. Counting points by Harder-Narasimhan reduction

For sufficiently regular positive (G, M_0)-orthogonal family Π, we can also count the number of points $|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{\nu_0}(\Pi)(\mathbf{F}_q)|$, $\nu_0 \in \Lambda_G$, by the Harder-Narasimhan reduction. The comparison with calculations from last section gives us a recursive relation between Arthur's weighted orbital integrals and the number of rational points on the fundamental domains.

4.1. **Harder-Narasimhan reduction on the affine Springer fibers.** When $M_0 = T = A$, we [C2] have introduced a notion of ξ-stability on the affine grassmannian for the reductive groups without exceptional factors. A point $x \in \mathscr{X}$ is said to be *ξ-stable* if the polytope $\mathrm{Ec}(x)$ contains ξ. This notion, à priori combinatorial, is in fact algebro-geometric. For a particular increasing exhaustive filtration by projective k-schemes

$$\mathscr{X}_0 = \mathrm{pt} \subset \mathscr{X}_1 \subset \mathscr{X}_2 \subset \cdots$$

of the affine grassmannian $\mathscr{X}$, we show that the notion of ξ-stability on each $\mathscr{X}_n$ coïncides with the notion of stability in the sense of GIT under the action of a torus $S_n \subset T$. Hence the subset $\mathscr{X}_n^\xi$ of the ξ-stable points on $\mathscr{X}_n$ has the structure of an open sub scheme of $\mathscr{X}_n$. As a corollary,

$$\mathscr{X}^\xi = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \mathscr{X}_n^\xi$$

is an open sub ind-k-scheme of $\mathscr{X}$.

Moreover, we can decompose the non-ξ-stable parts $\mathscr{X}\setminus\mathscr{X}^{\xi}$ into locally closed sub ind-k-schemes, which can be further reduced to the stable parts $\mathscr{X}^{M,\xi^M}$ for the Levi subgroups $M\in\mathcal{L}(T)$. We call this process *the Harder-Narasimhan reduction*. (We have called it the Arthur-Kottwitz reduction in our work [C2], we apologize for the confusion of terminology.)

We can generalize these constructions to our current setting. The following lemma is an analogue of [CL2], proposition 5.6.1. Let S be an affine k-scheme and $x\in\mathscr{X}(S)$. For every point $s\in S$, let $x_s\in\mathscr{X}(k(s))$ be the base change of x to the residue field $k(s)$ of S at s. Let $\mathcal{C}_x$ be the map on S which sends every point $s\in S$ to the convex polytope $\mathrm{Ec}(x_s)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that S is noetherian. The map $\mathcal{C}_x$ from S to the set of convex polytopes in $\mathfrak{a}_A^G$ ordered by inclusion is lower semi-continuous. In other words, for any convex polytope Ξ, the set*

$$\{s\in S\mid \mathcal{C}_x(s)\supset\Xi\}$$

is open.

Proof. To begin with, we show that $\mathcal{C}_x$ is constructible and it takes only finitely many values. Passing to the irreducible components of S, we can suppose that S is irreducible. Let η be the generic point of S. Let $g_\eta\in G(k(\eta)(\!(\epsilon)\!))$ be a representative of x_η. For $B=AN\in\mathcal{P}(A)$, we have the Iwasawa decomposition

$$g_\eta=n_\eta a_\eta k_\eta$$

where $n_\eta\in N(k(\eta)(\!(\epsilon)\!))$, $a_\eta\in A(k(\eta)(\!(\epsilon)\!))$ and $k_\eta\in G(k(\eta)[\![\epsilon]\!])$. Because η is the generic point and the map $\nu_A:\mathscr{X}^A\to X_*(A)$ is essentially the valuation map, there exists an open sub scheme U of S such that $H_B(x_s)=\nu_A(a_\eta)$ for any $x\in U$. As $\mathrm{Ec}(x_s)$ is the convex hull of $H_B(x_s)$, $B\in\mathcal{P}(A)$, the map $\mathcal{C}_x$ takes the constant value $\mathrm{Ec}(x_\eta)$ on the intersection of all such open sub schemes U. This proves the constructibility of $\mathcal{C}_x$. By the noetherian induction, the map $\mathcal{C}_x$ takes only finitely many values.

To finish the proof, we only need to show that the map $\mathcal{C}_x$ decreases under specialisation. In other words, let S be the spectrum of a discret valuation ring, let s be its special point and η its generic point, then

$$\mathrm{Ec}(x_s)\subset\mathrm{Ec}(x_\eta).$$

This is equivalent to the assertion that

$$f_B(x_s)\prec_B f_B(x_\eta),\quad\forall\, B\in\mathcal{P}(A), \tag{4.1}$$

where $\prec_B$ is the order on $X_*(A)$ such that $\mu_1\prec_B\mu_2$ if and only if $\mu_2-\mu_1$ is a positive linear combination of positive coroots with respect to B.

Let $\mu=f_B(x_\eta)\in X_*(A)$. By definition, we have

$$x_\eta\in U_B(\!(\epsilon)\!)\epsilon^\mu G[\![\epsilon]\!]/G[\![\epsilon]\!],$$

where U_B is the unipotent radical of B. So

$$x_s\in\overline{x_\eta}\subset\overline{U_B(\!(\epsilon)\!)\epsilon^\mu G[\![\epsilon]\!]/G[\![\epsilon]\!]}=\bigcup_{\substack{\lambda\in X_*(A)\\ \lambda\prec_B\mu}}U_B(\!(\epsilon)\!)\epsilon^\lambda G[\![\epsilon]\!]/G[\![\epsilon]\!],$$

which implies the relation (4.1).

□

Definition 4.1. Let $\xi \in \mathfrak{a}_{M_0}^G$ be a generic element. A point $x \in \mathscr{X}$ is said to be ξ-*stable* if the polytope $\mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}(x)$ contains ξ.

As $\mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}(x) = \pi_{M_0}(\mathrm{Ec}(x))$, the subset

$$\mathscr{X}^{\xi} = \{x \in \mathscr{X} \mid \xi \in \mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}(x)\}$$

is an open sub ind-k-scheme of $\mathscr{X}$ by lemma 4.1.

Remark 4.1. When $M_0 = T = A$, we prove this by showing that the notion of ξ-stability coïncides with the notion of stability for a twisted action of A on $\mathscr{X}$. We believe that this holds also in our current setting with the torus S playing the role of A. If this holds, we can conclude that the quotient $\mathscr{X}^{\xi}/S$ exists as an ind-k-scheme.

We have the Harder-Narasimhan reduction as well in the general setting. For $P = MN \in \mathcal{F}(M_0)$, let $\Phi_P(G, M)$ be the image of $\Phi(N, A)$ in $(\mathfrak{a}_M^G)^*$. For any point $a \in \mathfrak{a}_M^G$, we define a cone in $\mathfrak{a}_M^G$,

$$D_P(a) = \left\{y \in \mathfrak{a}_M^G \,|\, \alpha(y - a) \geq 0,\, \forall \alpha \in \Phi_P(G, M)\right\}.$$

Definition 4.2. For any geometric point $x \in \mathscr{X}$, we define a semi-cylinder $C_P(x)$ in $\mathfrak{a}_{M_0}^G$ by

$$C_P(x) = \pi_{M_0}^{M,-1}(\mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}^M(f_P(x))) \cap \pi_{M_0,M}^{-1}(D_P(H_P(x))).$$

By definition, we get a partition

$$\mathfrak{a}_{M_0}^G = \mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}(x) \cup \bigcup_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{F}(M_0) \\ P \neq G}} C_P(x),$$

for which the interior of any two parts doesn't intersect. The Fig. 2 gives an idea of this partition for GL_3 when $M_0 = A$.

So for any $x \notin \mathscr{X}^{\xi}$, there exists a unique parabolic subgroup $P \in \mathcal{F}(M_0)$ such that $\xi \in C_P(x)$ as ξ is generic. In this case, $f_P(x) \in \mathscr{X}^M$ is ξ^M-stable, where $\xi^M = \pi_{M_0}^M(\xi) \in \mathfrak{a}_{M_0}^M$. Let

$$X_P = \{x \in \mathscr{X} \,|\, \xi \in C_P(x)\},$$

we have the decomposition of the affine grassmannian

$$\mathscr{X} = \mathscr{X}^{\xi} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{F}(M_0) \\ P \neq G}} X_P. \tag{4.2}$$

For $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, let P^- be the parabolic subgroup opposite to P with respect to M. Let $\Lambda_{M,P}^{\xi} = D_{P^-}(\xi_M) \cap \Lambda_M$, where $\xi_M = \pi_{M_0,M}(\xi) \in \mathfrak{a}_M^G$. We have the disjoint partition

$$\Lambda_M = \bigsqcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}(M)} \Lambda_{M,P}^{\xi}.$$



FIGURE 2. $\mathrm{Ec}(x)$ and $C_P(x)$ for GL_3 when $M_0 = A$.

For $\lambda \in \Lambda_M$, let $\mathscr{X}^{M,\lambda,\xi^M} = \mathscr{X}^{M,\xi^M} \cap \mathscr{X}^{M,\lambda}$. The stratum X_P can be further decomposed into $N(\!(\epsilon)\!)$-orbits

$$X_P = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda^{\xi}_{M,P}} N(\!(\epsilon)\!)\mathscr{X}^{M,\lambda,\xi^M}.$$

Each orbit is locally closed in $\mathscr{X}$, they are infinite dimensional homogeneous affine fibrations on $\mathscr{X}^{M,\lambda,\xi^M}$ under the retraction f_P.

We summarise the above discussion into the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *The affine grassmannian can be decomposed as*

$$\mathscr{X} = \mathscr{X}^{\xi} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\substack{P=MN \in \mathcal{F}(M_0) \\ P \neq G}} \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda^{\xi}_{M,P}} N(\!(\epsilon)\!)\mathscr{X}^{M,\lambda,\xi^M}.$$

Each strata $N(\!(\epsilon)\!)\mathscr{X}^{M,\lambda,\xi^M}$ is an infinite dimensional homogeneous affine fibration over $\mathscr{X}^{M,\lambda,\xi^M}$.

The above constructions can be restricted to the affine Springer fiber $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$, as $\gamma \in \mathfrak{m}_0(\mathcal{O})$. Let $\mathscr{X}^{\xi}_\gamma = \mathscr{X}_\gamma \cap \mathscr{X}^{\xi}$, it is an open sub scheme of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$. As for $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$, the connected components of $\mathscr{X}^{\xi}_\gamma$ are parametrized by Λ_M and they are all isomorphic. As γ is anisotropic in $\mathfrak{m}_0(F)$, all the connected components of $\mathscr{X}^{\xi}_\gamma$ are of finite type. The equation (2.4) says that

$$J^{\xi}_{M_0}(\gamma) = |\mathscr{X}^{\nu,\xi}_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)|, \quad \forall\, \nu \in \Lambda_{M_0}. \tag{4.3}$$

The Harder-Narasimhan reduction restricts to

$$\mathscr{X}_\gamma = \mathscr{X}_\gamma^\xi \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\substack{P=MN\in\mathcal{F}(M_0)\\ P\neq G}} \bigsqcup_{\lambda\in\Lambda^\xi_{M,P}} \big(\mathscr{X}_\gamma \cap N(\!(\epsilon)\!)\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\lambda,\xi^M}\big). \tag{4.4}$$

By proposition 2.1, the retraction

$$f_P : \mathscr{X}_\gamma \cap N(\!(\epsilon)\!)\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\lambda,\xi^M} \to \mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\lambda,\xi^M}$$

is an iterated affine fibration over k of relative dimension

$$\mathrm{val}(\det(\mathrm{ad}(\gamma)|\mathfrak{n}(F))).$$

4.2. **Harder-Narasimhan reduction for the truncated affine Springer fibers.** In contrast to the Arthur-Kottwitz reduction, the Harder-Narasimhan reduction doesn't work well on the truncated affine Springer fiber $\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)$. We need to cut it into two parts, the *tail* and the *main body*. The Harder-Narasimhan reduction works well on the main body, and we can handle the tail with the Arthur-Kottwitz reduction.

For $P \in \mathcal{F}(M_0)$, we define the positive (G, M_0)-orthogonal family $E_P(\Pi)$, which as a polytope is the union of the translations $\Sigma_\gamma + \lambda$, $\lambda \in \Lambda_M$, such that $(\Sigma_\gamma + \lambda)^P \subset \Pi^P$. Let

$${}^t\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi) = \bigcup_{\substack{P\in\mathcal{F}(M_0)\\ P\neq G}} \mathscr{X}_\gamma(E_P(\Pi)), \quad {}^m\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi) = \mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)\backslash {}^t\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi).$$

We call them the *tail* and the *main body* of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)$, they are closed and open sub schemes of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)$ respectively. Fig. 3 gives an example of $E_P(\Pi)$ for the group $G = \mathrm{GL}_3$ when $M_0 = A$.

Before proceeding, we make precise the condition of Π being sufficiently regular. We would like it to satisfy the following conditions:

(1) Π is Σ_γ-regular.
(2) For all $P, Q \in \mathcal{F}(M_0)$, $E_P(\Pi) \cap E_Q(\Pi) = E_{P\cap Q}(\Pi)$.
(3) Let Π_0 be the integral positive (G, M_0)-orthogonal family which is the convex envelope of $\Lambda^{\nu_0}_{M_0} \cap \big(\Pi\backslash \bigcup_{\substack{P\in\mathcal{F}(M_0)\\ P\neq G}} E_P(\Pi)\big)$. It is not difficult to see that when the condition (2) holds, we have

$$\lambda_P(\Pi_0) = \lambda_P(\Pi) - (\lambda_P(\Sigma_\gamma) - \lambda_{P^-}(\Sigma_\gamma)) - \bar{w}_P \sum_{j=1}^{d} \pi_{M_0}(\alpha_{i_j}^\vee), \quad \forall\, P \in \mathcal{P}(M_0), \tag{4.5}$$

where $\bar{w}_P \in W/W_{M_P}$ is the unique element such that $P = \bar{w}_P P_0$, and $\Phi(N_0, A) \cap \Delta = \{\alpha_{i_1}, \cdots, \alpha_{i_d}\}$. We require that Π_0 satisfies the condition: For all $Q = LU \in \mathcal{F}(M_0)$, the face Π_0^Q contains the translations of Σ_γ^Q by elements of $\mathfrak{a}^L_{M_0}$ which have ξ^L as one of its vertices.



FIGURE 3. $E_P(\Pi)$ for GL_3 when $M_0 = A$.

It is clear that the polytope Π satisfies the above three conditions if $\sum_{j=1}^d a_{i_j}\alpha_{i_j}^\vee$ is sufficiently far from the walls, i.e.

$$\langle \sum_{j=1}^d a_{i_j}\alpha_{i_j}^\vee, \alpha\rangle \gg 0, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Phi(N_0, A).$$

Remark 4.2. As Π_0 is convex, the condition (3) implies that for any $\nu \in \Lambda_{L,Q}^\xi \cap \pi_L(\Pi_0)$, the inclusion

$$\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{L,\nu,\xi^L} \subset \mathscr{X}_\gamma^{L,\nu}(\Pi_0 \cap \pi_L^{-1}(\nu))$$

always holds by definition of ξ^L-stability.

4.2.1. *The main body.* By definition, a Harder-Narasimhan stratum $N(\!(\epsilon)\!)\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\nu} \cap \mathscr{X}_\gamma$, $\nu \in \Lambda_{M,P}^\xi$, intersects non-trivially with $\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)$ if and only if $\nu \in \Lambda_{M,P}^\xi \cap \pi_M(\Pi)$. So, after restriction, the Harder-Narasimhan reduction becomes

$$\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi) = \mathscr{X}_\gamma^\xi \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\substack{P=MN\in\mathcal{F}(M_0)\\ P\neq G}} \bigsqcup_{\lambda\in\Lambda_{M,P}^\xi\cap\pi_M(\Pi)} \big(\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi) \cap N(\!(\epsilon)\!)\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\lambda,\xi^M}\big).$$

The problem is that the retraction

$$f_P : \mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi) \cap N(\!(\epsilon)\!)\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\lambda,\xi^M} \to \mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\lambda,\xi^M}$$

is not necessarily an iterated affine fibration. This problem disappears on the main body ${}^m\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)$. We begin by analyzing the polytope $\mathrm{Ec}(x)$, $x \in \mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)$.

Lemma 4.3. *For $x \in \mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)$, suppose that*

$$\mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}(x) \subset \bigcup_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{F}(M_0) \\ P \neq G}} E_P(\Pi),$$

then $\mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}(x) \subset E_P(\Pi)$ for some $P \in \mathcal{F}(M_0)$, $P \neq G$.

Proof. By proposition 3.1, it is enough to prove the lemma for $x \in \mathscr{X}_\gamma^{\mathrm{reg}}$. In this case, the polytope $\mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}(x)$ is a translation of Σ_γ. As $\bigcup_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{F}(M_0) \\ P \neq G}} E_P(\Pi)$ is the union of translation of Σ_γ along the facets of Π, there must be a maximal parabolic subgroup $Q \in \mathcal{F}(M_0)_{\max}$ such that $\mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}^Q(x) \subset \Pi^Q$. By definition, this means that $\mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}(x) \subset E_Q(\Pi)$.

□

Lemma 4.4. *Let $P = MN \in \mathcal{F}(M_0)$, $\nu \in \Lambda_{M,P}^\xi$. Suppose that*

$${}^m\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi) \cap N(\!(\epsilon)\!)\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\nu} \neq \emptyset,$$

then $\nu \in \Lambda_{M,P}^\xi \cap \pi_M(\Pi_0)$.

Proof. We only need to show that $\nu \in \pi_M(\Pi_0)$. Let $x \in {}^m\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi) \cap N(\!(\epsilon)\!)\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\nu}$. As $x \in {}^m\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)$, we have

$$\mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}(x) \nsubseteq E_Q(\Pi), \quad \forall\, Q \in \mathcal{F}(M_0).$$

By the previous lemma, this is equivalent to

$$\mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}(x) \nsubseteq \bigcup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{F}(M_0) \\ Q \neq G}} E_Q(\Pi). \tag{4.6}$$

Suppose that $\nu \notin \pi_M(\Pi_0)$, then $\nu \in \pi_M(E_{Q_0}(\Pi))$ for some $Q_0 \in \mathcal{F}(M_0)$, $Q_0 \supset M$. As $\nu \in \Lambda_{M,P}^\xi$, the parabolic subgroup Q_0 need to satisfy $Q_0 \supset P^-$. Now that $x \in N(\!(\epsilon)\!)\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\nu}$ and that $\mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}(x)$ is a positive (G, M_0)-orthogonal family, we have

$$\alpha(\pi_M(H_P(x)) - \nu) > 0, \quad \forall\, \alpha \in \Phi(N, A),\, P \in \mathcal{P}(M_0).$$

As $Q_0 \supset P^-$, this implies that

$$\pi_M(H_P(x)) \subset \pi_M(E_{Q_0}(\Pi)), \quad \forall\, P \in \mathcal{P}(M_0).$$

Hence $\pi_M(\mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}(x)) \subset \pi_M(E_{Q_0}(\Pi))$, so

$$\mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}(x) \subset \pi_M^{-1}(\pi_M(E_{Q_0}(\Pi))) \subset \bigcup_{\substack{Q \subset \mathcal{F}(M_0),\, Q \neq G \\ Q \cap Q_0 \neq \emptyset}} E_Q(\Pi).$$

This is in contradiction with the relation (4.6), hence ν must lie in $\pi_M(\Pi_0)$.

□

Restricting the Harder-Narasimhan reduction (4.4) to the main part ${}^m\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)$, we have

$$ {}^m\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi) = \mathscr{X}_\gamma^\xi \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\substack{P=MN\in\mathcal{F}(M_0)\\ P\neq G}} \bigsqcup_{\lambda\in\Lambda^\xi_{M,P}\cap\pi_M(\Pi_0)} \big({}^m\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)\cap N(\!(\epsilon)\!)\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\lambda,\xi^M}\big). $$

The retraction f_P behaves much better on the stratum ${}^m\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)\cap N(\!(\epsilon)\!)\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\lambda,\xi^M}$:

Proposition 4.5. *Let $P=MN\in\mathcal{F}(M_0)$, $\nu\in\Lambda^\xi_{M,P}\cap\pi_M(\Pi_0)$. We have*

$$ {}^m\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)\cap N(\!(\epsilon)\!)\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\nu,\xi^M} = \mathscr{X}_\gamma\cap N(\!(\epsilon)\!)\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\nu,\xi^M}. $$

Hence the retraction

$$ f_P : {}^m\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)\cap N(\!(\epsilon)\!)\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\nu,\xi^M} \to \mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\nu,\xi^M} $$

is an iterated affine fibration over k.

Proof. Notice that the second assertion is the corollary of the first one, as follows from proposition 2.1. It is thus enough to show the first one. In particular, it is enough to show

$$ \mathscr{X}_\gamma\cap N(\!(\epsilon)\!)\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\nu,\xi^M} \subset {}^m\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)\cap N(\!(\epsilon)\!)\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\nu,\xi^M}, $$

as the inclusion in the other direction is obvious.

Let $x\in\mathscr{X}_\gamma\cap N(\!(\epsilon)\!)\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\nu,\xi^M}$, we claim that $\mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}(x)\subset\Pi$. By the condition (3) of Π being sufficiently regular, we have

$$ \mathrm{Ec}^M_{M_0}(f_P(x)) \subset \Pi_0\cap\pi_M^{-1}(\nu), \tag{4.7} $$

because $f_P(x)\in\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\nu,\xi^M}$. This implies that $\mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}(x)\subset\Pi$ by proposition 3.1 because of the inclusion

$$ \bigcup_{\substack{\lambda\in\Lambda_{M_0}\\ \text{satisfying }(*)}} (\lambda+\Sigma_\gamma)\subset\Pi, $$

where the condition $(*)$ refers to

$$ (\lambda+\Sigma_\gamma)\cap\Pi_0\neq\emptyset. $$

The inclusion (4.7) also implies that

$$ \mathrm{Ec}_{M_0}(x)\not\subseteq \bigcup_{\substack{Q\in\mathcal{F}(M_0)\\ Q\neq G}} E_Q(\Pi). $$

So $x\in{}^m\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)$, and the proof is concluded.

□

We summarize the above discussions in a proposition.

Proposition 4.6. *The main body has a decomposition*

$$ {}^m\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi) = \mathscr{X}_\gamma^\xi \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\substack{P=MN\in\mathcal{F}(M_0)\\ P\neq G}} \bigsqcup_{\lambda\in\Lambda^\xi_{M,P}\cap\pi_M(\Pi_0)} \big({}^m\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)\cap N(\!(\epsilon)\!)\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\lambda,\xi^M}\big), $$

and the retraction f_P on each stratum

$$ f_P : {}^m\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Pi)\cap N(\!(\epsilon)\!)\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\nu,\xi^M} \to \mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\nu,\xi^M} $$

is an iterated affine fibration over k of dimension

$$ \mathrm{val}(\det(\mathrm{ad}(\gamma)\mid\mathfrak{n}_F)). $$

Of course, we can also restrict the decomposition to each connected component ${}^m\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{\nu_0}(\Pi)$, $\nu_0\in\Lambda_G$, this gives us an effective way to calculate $|{}^m\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{\nu_0}(\Pi)(\mathbf{F}_q)|$. Let $\Lambda^{\nu_0,\xi}_{M,P}=\Lambda^\xi_{M,P}\cap\Lambda^{\nu_0}_{M,P}$. The decomposition implies

$$ \begin{aligned} |{}^m\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{\nu_0}(\Pi)(\mathbf{F}_q)| &= J^\xi_{M_0}(\gamma) + \sum_{\substack{P=MN\in\mathcal{F}(M_0)\\ P\neq G}} \sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda^{\nu_0,\xi}_{M,P}\cap\pi_M(\Pi_0)} q^{\mathrm{val}(\det(\mathrm{ad}\gamma|\mathfrak{n}_F))}\cdot J^{M,\xi^M}_{M_0}(\gamma)\\ &= J^\xi_{M_0}(\gamma) + \sum_{\substack{P=MN\in\mathcal{F}(M_0)\\ P\neq G}} q^{\frac12\mathrm{val}(\det(\mathrm{ad}\gamma|\mathfrak{g}_F/\mathfrak{m}_F))}\cdot J^{M,\xi^M}_{M_0}(\gamma)\cdot|\Lambda^{\nu_0,\xi}_{M,P}\cap\pi_M(\Pi_0)|\\ &= J^\xi_{M_0}(\gamma) + \sum_{\substack{M\in\mathcal{L}(M_0)\\ M\neq G}} q^{\frac12\mathrm{val}(\det(\mathrm{ad}\gamma|\mathfrak{g}_F/\mathfrak{m}_F))}\cdot J^{M,\xi^M}_{M_0}(\gamma)\cdot\sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(M)}|\Lambda^{\nu_0,\xi}_{M,P}\cap\pi_M(\Pi_0)|\\ &= J^\xi_{M_0}(\gamma) + \sum_{\substack{M\in\mathcal{L}(M_0)\\ M\neq G}} q^{\frac12\mathrm{val}(\det(\mathrm{ad}\gamma|\mathfrak{g}_F/\mathfrak{m}_F))}\cdot J^{M,\xi^M}_{M_0}(\gamma)\cdot|\Lambda^{\nu_0}_{M}\cap\pi_M(\Pi_0)|. \qquad (4.8) \end{aligned} $$

Again, the last term in the equation counts the number of lattice points in a polytope, it can be calculated effectively with methods from toric geometry. We summarize the above calculations in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.7. *The number of rational points of the main body is*

$$ |{}^m\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{\nu_0}(\Pi)(\mathbf{F}_q)| = J^\xi_{M_0}(\gamma) + \sum_{\substack{M\in\mathcal{L}(M_0)\\ M\neq G}} q^{\frac12\mathrm{val}(\det(\mathrm{ad}\gamma|\mathfrak{g}_F/\mathfrak{m}_F))}\cdot J^{M,\xi^M}_{M_0}(\gamma)\cdot|\Lambda^{\nu_0}_{M}\cap\pi_M(\Pi_0)|. $$

4.2.2. *The tail.* As the polytope Π satisfies

$$ E_P(\Pi)\cap E_Q(\Pi) = E_{P\cap Q}(\Pi),\quad \forall\, P,Q\in\mathcal{F}(M_0), $$

by the inclusion-exclusion principle, we have

$$ |{}^t\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{\nu_0}(\Pi)(\mathbf{F}_q)| = \sum_{\substack{P\in\mathcal{F}(M_0)\\ P\neq G}} (-1)^{\mathrm{rk}(G)-\mathrm{rk}(P)-1}|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{\nu_0}(E_P(\Pi))(\mathbf{F}_q)|, \qquad (4.9) $$

where the notation rk means the semisimple rank. Although the polytope $E_P(\Pi)$ is not Σ_γ-regular, we can use the general Arthur-Kottwitz reduction in remark 3.1 repeatedly to decompose $\mathscr{X}_\gamma(E_P(\Pi))$ into locally closed sub schemes which are iterated affine fibrations over $F_\gamma^{M,\nu}$, $M \in \mathcal{L}(M_0), \nu \in \Lambda_M$. This gives a formula for $|\mathscr{X}_\gamma(E_P(\Pi))(\mathbf{F}_q)|$ in terms of the $|F_\gamma^M(\mathbf{F}_q)|$'s. This process applies to a large family of truncated affine Springer fibers.

4.2.3. *The $A^{G,L}_{M_0,\alpha}$ operators.* Let $L \in \mathcal{L}(M_0)$ be a standard Levi factor of G. In the following, we adapt the notations for G to L by adding a bar. Let $\overline{P}_0 = P_0 \cap L$. For a positive (L, M_0)-orthogonal family, we say that two faces of it are *conjugate* if their associated parabolic subgroups are conjugate to each other by the Weyl group W_L/W_{M_0}. In particular, the edges of the polytope are parametrised by minimal elements in $\mathcal{F}^L(M_0)\backslash\{M_0\}$. Recall that $\Phi(N_0, A) \cap \Delta = \{\alpha_{i_1}, \cdots, \alpha_{i_d}\}$. An edge is said to be *of type* α_{i_j} if it is conjugate to the edge having vertices $\lambda_{\overline{P}_0}, \lambda_{s_{\alpha_{i_j}}\overline{P}_0}$, where $s_{\alpha_{i_j}}$ is the simple reflection associated to α_{i_j}.

Recall that we have the direct sum decomposition $\mathfrak{a}^G_{M_0} = \mathfrak{a}^L_{M_0} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^G_L$, and the projections $\pi^L_{M_0}, \pi_{M_0,L}$ to the first and the second factors respectively. For $\alpha_{i_j} \in \Phi(L, A)$, let $A^{G,L}_{M_0,\alpha_{i_j}}$ be the operator on the set of positive (G, M_0)-orthogonal families defined as follows: As a polytope, it increases by one the length of all the edges whose image in $\mathfrak{a}^L_{M_0}$ under the projection $\pi^L_{M_0}$ are of type α_{i_j}, and keep the length of the others invariant. To check that this is well defined, it is enough to verify for the faces of Π of dimension 2, but this is clear. Finally, we require that

$$\lambda_{P_0}(A^{G,L}_{M_0,\alpha_{i_j}}(\Sigma)) = \lambda_{P_0}(\Sigma) + \pi_{M_0}(\varpi^\vee_{i_j}),$$

for any positive (G, M_0)-orthogonal family Σ. This makes the resulting positive (G, M_0)-orthogonal family symmetric with respect to the original one. In the special case that $L = G$ and $M_0 = A$, a positive (G, A)-orthogonal family $(\lambda_w)_{w\in W}$ is changed to $(\lambda'_w)_{w\in W}$ with

$$\lambda'_w = \lambda_w + w(\varpi^\vee_i), \quad \forall w \in W,$$

where $\{\varpi^\vee_i\}_{i=1}^r$ is the fundamental coweights satisfying

$$\langle \alpha_i, \varpi^\vee_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \quad \forall i, j = 1, \cdots, r.$$

From the definition, we also see that the operators $A^{G,L}_{M_0,\alpha}$ commute with each other. When $G = L$, we simplify the notation $A^{G,G}_{M_0,\alpha}$ to $A^G_{M_0,\alpha}$.

4.2.4. *The Arthur-Kottwitz reduction.* Let $\Delta_{\overline{P}_0}(L, M_0) = \Phi(L, A) \cap \Phi(N_0, A) \cap \Delta$, consider the family of polytopes

$$\Sigma_\gamma^{(n_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\Delta_{\overline{P}_0}(L,M_0)}} = \prod_{\alpha\in\Delta_{\overline{P}_0}(L,M_0)} (A^{G,L}_{M_0,\alpha})^{n_\alpha}(\Sigma_\gamma), \quad \text{with } n_\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}. \tag{4.10}$$

To simplify the notations, we abbreviate $\Sigma_\gamma^{(n_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\Delta_{\overline{P}_0}(L,M_0)}}$ to $\Sigma_\gamma^{(n_\alpha)}$ if there is no confusion. As explained in remark 3.1, the Arthur-Kottwitz reduction holds with respect to $\Sigma_\gamma^{(n_\alpha)}$

because its edges satisfy the inequality (3.6). We can use it to study the difference of truncated affine Springer fibers with truncation parameter $\Sigma_\gamma^{(n_\alpha)}$ and $\Sigma_\gamma^{(n_\alpha+\mathbb{1}_{\alpha=\alpha_0})}$, for $\alpha_0 \in \Delta_{\overline{P}_0}(L, M_0)$. Here $\mathbb{1}_{\alpha=\alpha_0}$ refers to the characteristic function on $\Delta_{\overline{P}_0}(L, M_0)$ which takes 1 for α_0 and 0 for the other roots. We adapt the notations for Σ_γ in §3.3 to $\Sigma_\gamma^{(n_\alpha)}$ by systematically adding a prime.

Let $D'_0 = (\lambda_{\bar{w}})_{\bar{w}\in W/W_{M_0}}$ be the (G, M_0)-orthogonal family given by

$$\lambda_w = \lambda_w(\Sigma_\gamma^{(n_\alpha)}) + w \cdot \varsigma.$$

For $Q = MN \in \mathcal{F}(M_0)$, let $R'_Q \subset \mathfrak{a}_{M_0}^G$ be the subset satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^M(a) &\subset D'^Q_0; \\ \alpha(\pi_M(a)) &\geq \alpha(\pi_M(\lambda_B)),\ \forall\, \alpha \in \Phi(N, A),\ \forall\, P \in \mathcal{P}(M_0),\ P \subset Q. \end{aligned}$$

We get a partition

$$\mathfrak{a}_{M_0}^G = \bigcup_{Q\in\mathcal{F}(M_0)} R'_Q. \tag{4.11}$$

Let $S'_Q := \{x \in \mathscr{X}_\gamma \mid \mathrm{Ec}^Q_{M_0}(x) \subset R'_Q\}$, and $S'^\nu_Q = S'_Q \cap f_Q^{-1}(\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\nu})$, for $\nu \in \Lambda_M$. As before, we have the Arthur-Kottwitz reduction

$$\mathscr{X}_\gamma = \mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Sigma_\gamma^{(n_\alpha)}) \cup \bigcup_{\substack{Q=MN\in\mathcal{F}(M_0)\\ Q\neq G}} \bigcup_{\nu\in\Lambda_M\cap\pi_M(R'_Q)} S'^\nu_Q,$$

and the retraction $f_Q : S'^\nu_Q \to \mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\nu}(D'^Q_0)$ is an iterated affine fibration. It is easy to see that $\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\nu}(D'^Q_0)$ can also be written as a truncated affine Springer fiber with truncation parameter of the form (4.10) adapted to M.

We can restrict the Arthur-Kottwitz reduction to $\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Sigma_\gamma^{(n_\alpha+\mathbb{1}_{\alpha=\alpha_0})})$:

$$\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Sigma_\gamma^{(n_\alpha+\mathbb{1}_{\alpha=\alpha_0})}) = \mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Sigma_\gamma^{(n_\alpha)}) \cup \bigcup_{\substack{Q=MN\in\mathcal{F}(M_0)\\ Q\neq G}} \bigcup_{\substack{\nu\in\Lambda_M\cap\pi_M(R'_Q)\cap\\ \pi_M\left(\Sigma_\gamma^{(n_\alpha+\mathbb{1}_{\alpha=\alpha_0})}\right)}} S'^\nu_Q, \tag{4.12}$$

and also to each connected components of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Sigma_\gamma^{(n_\alpha+\mathbb{1}_{\alpha=\alpha_0})})$. This gives us a recursive process to calculate their rational points over $\mathbf{F}_q$ from $|F_\gamma^{M,\nu}(\mathbf{F}_q)|$, $M \in \mathcal{L}(M_0)$, $\nu \in \Lambda_{M_{\mathrm{ad}}}$.

Coming back to the tail ${}^t\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{\nu_0}(\Pi)$. For $P = MN \in \mathcal{F}(M_0)$, it is easy to see that $E_P(\Pi)$ is of the form (4.10) with $L = M$. So we can use the above process to calculate the $|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{\nu_0}(E_P(\Pi))(\mathbf{F}_q)|$'s. We can then calculate $|{}^t\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{\nu_0}(\Pi)(\mathbf{F}_q)|$ with the inclusion-exclusion relation (4.9), the result is expressed in terms of $|F_\gamma^{M,\nu}(\mathbf{F}_q)|$, $M \in \mathcal{L}(M_0)$, $\nu \in \Lambda_{M_{\mathrm{ad}}}$.

4.3. **Application to Arthur's weighted orbital integral.** By theorem 2.3, Arthur's weighted orbital integral essentially calculates $|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{\nu_0,\xi}(\mathbf{F}_q)|$ for any $\nu_0 \in \Lambda_{M_0}$. The two approaches in §3 and §4 to calculate $|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{\nu_0}(\Pi)(\mathbf{F}_q)|$ give us a recurrence relation involving $|F_\gamma^{M,\mu}(\mathbf{F}_q)|$ and $|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\nu,\xi^M}(\mathbf{F}_q)|$, for $M \in \mathcal{L}(M_0)$, $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda_M$. If we are able to solve this recurrence relation, we get an expression for $J_{M_0}^\xi(\gamma) = |\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{\nu_0,\xi}(\mathbf{F}_q)|$ in terms of $|F_\gamma^{M,\mu}(\mathbf{F}_q)|$'s.

5. Calculations for the group GL_2

Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_2$, let $\gamma \in \mathfrak{gl}_2(F)$ be a regular semi-simple integral element. Assume that $\mathrm{char}(k) > 2$ and the splitting field of γ is totally ramified over F. The centralizer $Z_{G(F)}(\gamma)$ is isomorphic either to $F^\times \times F^\times$ or to $E^\times$, where E is a separable totally ramified field extension over F of degree 2. We call elements γ in these cases *split* and *anisotropic* respectively.

5.1. **Split elements.** We can take T to be the maximal torus of G of the diagonal matrices and $\gamma \in \mathfrak{t}(\mathcal{O})$ a regular element. Let

$$n = \mathrm{val}(\alpha_{12}(\gamma)),$$

which we call the root valuation of γ. The dimension of the affine Springer fiber $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$ is known to be

$$\dim(\mathscr{X}_\gamma) = n.$$

In the remaining of the section, we assume that $n \geq 1$, as the case $n = 0$ reduces to the group GL_1. Recall that we have calculated F_γ in [C3]. Let $X_*(T) \cong \mathbf{Z}^2$ be the usual identification, let $(n, 0) \in \mathbf{Z}^2$, let

$$\mathrm{Sch}(n,0) = \overline{K \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^n & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} K/K}.$$

We have $F_\gamma \cong \mathrm{Sch}(n, 0)$, and its number of rational points is

$$|F_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)| = \sum_{i=0}^{n} q^i,$$

by the Bruhat-Tits decomposition of $\mathrm{Sch}(n, 0)$. As $\Lambda_{\mathrm{PGL}_2} = \mathbf{Z}/2$, F_γ has only one variant F_γ^1, we can calculate its number of rational points to be

$$F_\gamma^1(\mathbf{F}_q) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} q^i.$$

Let $a \in \mathbf{N}$, let Π be the positive (G, T)-orthogonal family defined by

$$\lambda_w(\Pi) = \lambda_w(\Sigma_\gamma) + w(a\alpha_{12}^\vee), \quad \forall\, w \in W.$$

Assume that $a \gg 0$, then Π is sufficiently regular in the sense of §4.2. We can calculate easily

$$Q_\gamma^0(a) = |\mathscr{X}_\gamma^0(\Pi)(\mathbf{F}_q)| = \sum_{i=0}^{n} q^i + 2q^n a,$$

by the Arthur-Kottwitz reduction (or the equation (3.8)). We see that $Q_\gamma^0(a)$ is polynomial in a. By the Harder-Narasimhan reduction (or the equation (4.8)), we have

$$|^m\mathscr{X}_\gamma^0(\Pi)(\mathbf{F}_q)| = J_T^\xi(\gamma) + [2a-(n+1)]q^n.$$

The tail is the disjoint union of two fundamental domains, so its number of rational points is

$$|^t\mathscr{X}_\gamma^0(\Pi)(\mathbf{F}_q)| = 2\sum_{i=0}^n q^i.$$

Because

$$|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^0(\Pi)(\mathbf{F}_q)| = |^m\mathscr{X}_\gamma^0(\Pi)(\mathbf{F}_q)| + |^t\mathscr{X}_\gamma^0(\Pi)(\mathbf{F}_q)|,$$

we get the equation

$$\sum_{i=0}^n q^i + 2aq^n = J_T^\xi(\gamma) + [2a-(n+1)]q^n + 2\sum_{i=0}^n q^i.$$

Solving it, we get

$$J_T^\xi(\gamma) = nq^n - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} q^i.$$

On the other hand, we can use equation (2.3) to calculate easily the orbital integral

$$I_\gamma^G = q^n.$$

We summarize the above calculations as:

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\gamma \in \mathfrak{gl}_2(F)$ be a regular semisimple integral element of root valuation n. It has orbital integral $I_\gamma^G = q^n$. The number of rational points on $\mathscr{X}_\gamma^0(\Pi)$ is*

$$Q_\gamma^0(a) = |\mathscr{X}_\gamma^0(\Pi)(\mathbf{F}_q)| = \sum_{i=0}^n q^i + 2aq^n,$$

and Arthur's weighted orbital integral for γ equals

$$J_T^\xi(\gamma) = nq^n - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} q^i.$$

5.2. **Anisotropic elements.** In this case $E = \mathbf{F}_q(\!(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}})\!)$. Suppose that $\gamma = a + b\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$ under the isomorphism $Z_{G(F)}(\gamma) \cong E^\times$, with $a, b \in \mathcal{O}$. Under the bases $\{\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}, 1\}$ of E over $\mathbf{F}_q(\!(\epsilon)\!)$, the element γ is of the form

$$\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b\epsilon & a \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is clear that the affine Springer fibers $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$ and $\mathscr{X}_{-a+\gamma}$ are isomorphic, so we can assume that $a = 0$. Let $b = b_0\epsilon^n$, $b_0 \in \mathcal{O}^\times$, we can write

$$\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} & b_0\epsilon^n \\ b_0\epsilon^{n+1} & \end{bmatrix}. \tag{5.1}$$

The affine Springer fibers in this case are special case of the so called *equivalued* affine Springer fibers, which have been studied by Goresky, Kottwitz and MacPherson [GKM2]. The element $\gamma \in \mathfrak{t}(\mathcal{O})$ is said to be *equivalued* if the valuation $\mathrm{val}(\alpha(\gamma))$ takes the same value for any root $\alpha \in \Phi(\mathbf{G}_{\overline{F}}, \mathbf{T}_{\overline{F}})$, where $\overline{F}$ is the algebraic closure of F. We recall their results. For this short reminder, we take G to be a connected split reductive group over k.

We need the notion of Moy-Prasas filtration on $G(F)$. The rotation torus $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts on $F = k(\!(\epsilon)\!)$ by $t * \epsilon^n = t^n \epsilon^n$, $\forall t \in k^\times, n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Hence it acts on $G(F)$ and its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_F$. Let $\widetilde{A} = \mathbb{G}_m \times A$, with the first factor being the rotation torus. Let $\chi_0 \in X^*(\mathbb{G}_m)$ be the character defined by $\chi_0(t) = t$. For $\alpha \in \Phi(G, A)$, let (n, α) be the character (χ_0^n, α) of $\widetilde{A}$.

Under the action of $\widetilde{A}$, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_F$ decomposes into eigenspaces

$$\mathfrak{g}_F = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} \epsilon^m \mathfrak{a} \oplus \bigoplus_{(n,\alpha) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \Phi(G,A)} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \epsilon^n + \mathfrak{g} \epsilon^N, \quad \forall\, N \gg 0,$$

where $\epsilon^m \mathfrak{a}$ is of weight $(m, 0)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \epsilon^n$ is of weight (n, α).

For a fixed $x \in \mathfrak{a}$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$, we define a filtration on $\mathfrak{g}_F$:

$$\mathfrak{g}_{x,t} = \bigoplus_{\substack{(n,\alpha) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \Phi(G,A) \\ \alpha(x) + n \geq t}} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \epsilon^n + \mathfrak{g} \epsilon^N, \quad N \gg 0.$$

This is the Moy-Prasad filtration on $\mathfrak{g}_F$ introduced in [MP]. For $t \geq 0$, let $\mathbf{G}_{x,t}$ be the subgroup of $G(F)$ containing A whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{g}_{x,t}$. Then, $\mathbf{G}_x := \mathbf{G}_{x,0}$ is a parahoric subgroup of $G(F)$ containing A, and $\mathbf{G}_{x,t}$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathbf{G}_x$.

Example 5.1. (1) For $0 \in \mathfrak{a}$, we have $\mathbf{G}_0 = G(\mathcal{O})$.

(2) For $G = \mathrm{GL}_d$, let $x_0 = \left(\frac{d}{d}, \frac{d-1}{d}, \cdots, \frac{1}{d}\right) \in \mathfrak{a}$, then $\mathbf{G}_{x_0}$ is the standard Iwahori subgroup I. Recall that it is the pre-image of B_0 under the reduction $G(\mathcal{O}) \to G$.

(3) For $G = \mathrm{GL}_d$, $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{Z}^d$, let $x_\mathbf{a} = \left(\frac{d}{d} - a_1, \frac{d-1}{d} - a_2, \cdots, \frac{1}{d} - a_d\right) \in \mathfrak{t}$, then

$$\mathbf{G}_{x_\mathbf{a}} = I_\mathbf{a} := \mathrm{Ad}(\epsilon^\mathbf{a}) I.$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}_{x,t+} = \mathfrak{g}_{x,t+\delta}$ for any sufficiently small $\delta > 0$. Let $\mathfrak{g}_x(t) = \mathfrak{g}_{x,t}/\mathfrak{g}_{x,t+}$, it can be naturally identified with a sub Lie algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathbf{G}_x(t)$ be the associated Lie group.

When γ is equivalued, there exists an $x \in \mathfrak{a}, t \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $\gamma \in \mathfrak{g}_{x,t} \backslash \mathfrak{g}_{x,t+}$ and the image γ_t of γ in $\mathfrak{g}_x(t) \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is regular semi-simple. Goresky, Kottwitz and MacPherson [GKM2] show that the intersections of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$ with $\mathbf{G}_x$-orbits in $\mathscr{X}$ admit an iterated affine fibration over k onto the so called *Hessenberg varieties*, which are smooth closed sub-varieties of the flag variety. This induces a *Hessenberg paving* of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$. By the word *Hessenberg paving* of an ind-k-scheme X, we mean an exhaustive increasing filtration $\emptyset \subsetneq X_1 \subsetneq X_2 \subsetneq \cdots$ of X by closed complete sub schemes X_i of finite type over k such that each successive difference $X_{i+1} \backslash X_i$ is a disjoint union of iterated affine space bundles over Hessenberg varieties.

More precisely, the intersections of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$ with a $\mathbf{G}_x$-orbit in $\mathscr{X}$ can be written in the form

$$S_y = \{g \in \mathbf{G}_x / \mathbf{G}_x \cap \mathbf{G}_y \mid \mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1} \gamma \in \mathfrak{g}_{y,0}\},$$

for some $y \in \mathfrak{a}$. Let

$$S_{y,0+} = \{g \in \mathbf{G}_{x,0+} \backslash \mathbf{G}_x / \mathbf{G}_x \cap \mathbf{G}_y \mid \mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1} \gamma \in \mathfrak{g}_{y,0} + \mathfrak{g}_{x,t+}\}.$$

They show that S_y is an iterated affine fibration over $S_{y,0+}$, and $S_{y,0+}$ is isomorphic to a Hessenberg variety of the following form: Let

$$F_y^0\mathfrak{g}_x(t) = \mathrm{Im}\{\mathfrak{g}_{y,0} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{x,t} \to \mathfrak{g}_{x,t}/\mathfrak{g}_{x,t+} = \mathfrak{g}_x(t)\},$$

and similarly

$$F_y^0\mathbf{G}_x(t) = \mathrm{Im}\{\mathbf{G}_{y,0} \cap \mathbf{G}_{x,t} \to \mathbf{G}_{x,t}/\mathbf{G}_{x,t+} = \mathbf{G}_x(t))\}.$$

Let $H_0 = \mathbf{G}_x(0)$, $P = F_y^0\mathbf{G}_x(0)$, then

$$S_{y,0+} \cong \{g \in H_0/P \mid \mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1}\gamma_t \in F_y^0\mathfrak{g}_x(t)\}.$$

They also calculate the dimension of S_y to be

$$\dim(S_y) = |\{\text{Affine roots } \tilde{\alpha} \mid 0 \le \tilde{\alpha}(x) < t, \tilde{\alpha}(y) < 0\}|.$$

We use the Hessenberg paving of Goresky, Kottwitz and MacPherson to calculate $|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^0(\mathbf{F}_q)| = |F_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)|$. We take $x = (1, 1/2)$, $t = n + \frac{1}{2}$, then $\mathbf{G}_x = I$, $\mathbf{G}_x(0) = A$ and

$$\gamma_n = \begin{bmatrix} & b_0 \\ b_0 & \end{bmatrix}.$$

The affine grassmannian can be decomposed as

$$\mathscr{X} = \bigcup_{(a_1,a_2)\in\mathbf{Z}^2} I \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{a_1} & \\ & \epsilon^{a_2} \end{pmatrix} K/K.$$

Let $y_{\mathbf{a}} = (-a_1, -a_2) \in \mathfrak{a}$. We calculate easily that

$$\mathscr{X}_\gamma \cap I \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{a_1} & \\ & \epsilon^{a_2} \end{pmatrix} K/K \cong S_{y_{\mathbf{a}}}.$$

As $H_0 = A$, the Hessenberg variety $S_{y_{\mathbf{a}},0+}$ degenerates to a point if it is not empty, and this happens exactly when

$$-(n+1) \le a_1 - a_2 \le n.$$

We can calculate the dimension of $S_{y_{\mathbf{a}}}$ to be

$$\dim(S_{y_a}) = \begin{cases} a_1 - a_2, & \text{if } a_1 \ge a_2; \\ a_2 - a_1 - 1, & \text{if } a_1 < a_2. \end{cases}$$

Notice that this is the dimension of $I \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{a_1} & \\ & \epsilon^{a_2} \end{pmatrix} K/K$, so they must be the same. Summarize the above calculations, we get

Theorem 5.2. *Let γ be the matrix* (5.1)*. For $(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbf{Z}^2$, we have*

$$\mathscr{X}_\gamma \cap I \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{a_1} & \\ & \epsilon^{a_2} \end{pmatrix} K/K = \begin{cases} I \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{a_1} & \\ & \epsilon^{a_2} \end{pmatrix} K/K, & \text{if } -(n+1) \le a_1 - a_2 \le n; \\ \emptyset, & \text{if not.} \end{cases}$$

As a corollary, we have

$$J_G^{\xi}(\gamma) = I_\gamma^G = |F_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)| = \sum_{i=0}^{n} q^i.$$

6. Calculations for GL_3–split case

Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_3$, let $\gamma \in \mathfrak{gl}_3(F)$ be a regular semi-simple integral element. Assume that $\mathrm{char}(k) > 3$ and the splitting field of γ is totally ramified over F. The centraliser $Z_{G(F)}(\gamma)$ is isomorphic to either $F^\times \times F^\times \times F^\times$, or $F^\times \times E_2^\times$, or $E_3^\times$, where E_2, E_3 are separable totally ramified field extensions over F of degree 2 and 3 respectively. We call elements γ in these cases *split*, *mixed* and *anisotropic* respectively.

In this section, we restrict ourselves to the split case. Let T the maximal torus of G of the diagonal matrices, let $\gamma \in \mathfrak{t}(\mathcal{O})$ be a regular element. As we show in the appendix of [C1], up to conjugation by the Weyl group, we can suppose that

$$\mathrm{val}(\alpha_{12}(\gamma)) \leq \mathrm{val}(\alpha_{23}(\gamma)),\ \mathrm{val}(\alpha_{13}(\gamma)) = \mathrm{val}(\alpha_{12}(\gamma)).$$

In this case, γ is said to be *in minimal form*, and we call

$$(n_1, n_2) = \big(\mathrm{val}(\alpha_{12}(\gamma)), \mathrm{val}(\alpha_{23}(\gamma))\big)$$

the *root valuation* of γ. The dimension of the affine Springer fiber $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$ is known to be

$$\dim(\mathscr{X}_\gamma) = 2n_1 + n_2.$$

In the remaining of the section, we assume that $n_1 \geq 1$, as the case $n_1 = 0$ reduces to the group GL_2. Recall that we have calculated the Poincaré polynomial of F_γ in [C3].

Proposition 6.1. *The Poincaré polynomial of F_γ depends only on the root valuation (n_1, n_2), and it is*

$$\begin{aligned} P_{(n_1,n_2)}(t) \quad = \quad & \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} i(t^{4i-2} + t^{4i-4}) + \sum_{i=2n_1}^{n_1+n_2-1} (2n_1+1)t^{2i} \\ & + \sum_{i=n_1+n_2}^{2n_1+n_2-1} 4(2n_1+n_2-i)t^{2i} + t^{4n_1+2n_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbf{N}^2$, let Π be the positive (G,T)-orthogonal family defined by

$$\lambda_w(\Pi) = \lambda_w(\Sigma_\gamma) + w\sum_{i=1}^{2} a_i \alpha_i^\vee, \quad \forall\, w \in W.$$

Assume that Π is sufficiently regular in the sense of §4.2, which means that $a_1,\ a_2 \gg 0$ and

$$2a_1 - a_2 > 0, \quad 2a_2 - a_1 > 0.$$

We will calculate

$$Q_\gamma^0(a_1, a_2) = |\mathscr{X}_\gamma^0(\Pi)(\mathbf{F}_q)|$$

following the two approaches that we have explained, and draw conclusions on Arthur's weighted orbital integral.

6.1. **Counting points by Arthur-Kottwitz reduction.** We will work out each term in the equation (3.8). Look at the summands indexed by the Borel subgroups. Each stratum contributes $q^{2n_1+n_2}$, so it remains to count the number of lattice points

$$\sum_{B\in\mathcal{P}(T)} |\Lambda_T^0 \cap R_B \cap \Pi| = 6|\Lambda_T^0 \cap R_{B_0} \cap \Pi|,$$

where the equality is due to the symmetry of Π with respect to Σ_γ. We identify

$$\Lambda_T^0 \cong \{(m_1, m_2, m_3) \in \mathbf{Z}^3 \mid m_1 + m_2 + m_3 = 0\}$$

in the usual way. Let $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}_{B_0}^G = \{a \in \mathfrak{a}_T^G \mid \alpha_1(a) \geq 0,\, \alpha_2(a) \geq 0\}$, let

$$R_0 = \{a \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}}_{B_0}^G \mid \varpi_1(a) \leq a_1 - 1,\, \varpi_2(a) \leq a_2 - 1\}.$$

Up to a suitable translation, we have

$$|\Lambda_T^0 \cap R_{B_0} \cap \Pi| = |\Lambda_T^0 \cap R_0|.$$

We can express it as the difference of two lattice point counting problems. Let

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \{a \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}}_{B_0}^G |\, \varpi_1(a) \leq a_1 - 1,\, \varpi_2(a) \leq 2(a_1 - 1)\}, \\ R_2 &= \{a \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}}_{B_0}^G |\, \varpi_1(a) \leq a_1 - 1,\, \varpi_2(a) \geq a_2\}, \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$|\Lambda_T^0 \cap R_0| = |\Lambda_T^0 \cap R_1| - |\Lambda_T^0 \cap R_2|.$$

We count $|\Lambda_T^0 \cap R_1|$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |\Lambda_T^0 \cap R_1| &= \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} |R_1 \cap \{\mu \in \Lambda_T^0 |\, \varpi_1(\mu) = n\}| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{a_1}{2} \rfloor} [(3i-2) + (3i-1)] + \frac{1-(-1)^{a_1}}{2}\left(1 + \frac{3(a_1-1)}{2}\right) \\ &= 3\lfloor \frac{a_1}{2} \rfloor^2 + \frac{1}{4}(1-(-1)^{a_1})(3a_1 - 1), \end{aligned}$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ means the largest integer that is less than or equal to x. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Lambda_T^0 \cap R_2| &= \sum_{n=2(a_1-1)}^{-\infty} |R_2 \cap \{\mu \in \Lambda_T^0 |\, \varpi_2(\mu) = n\}| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{2a_1 - a_2 - 1}{2} \rfloor} (i+i) + \frac{1+(-1)^{2a_1-a_2}}{2} \cdot \frac{2a_1 - a_2}{2} \\ &= \lfloor \frac{2a_1 - a_2 - 1}{2} \rfloor \left(\lfloor \frac{2a_1 - a_2 - 1}{2} \rfloor + 1 \right) + \frac{1}{4}(1 + (-1)^{2a_1 - a_2})(2a_1 - a_2). \end{aligned}$$

In summary, the summands in the equation (3.8) indexed by the Borel subgroups contributes

$$6q^{2n_1+n_2}\Bigg[3\lfloor\frac{a_1}{2}\rfloor^2-\lfloor\frac{2a_1-a_2-1}{2}\rfloor\left(\lfloor\frac{2a_1-a_2-1}{2}\rfloor+1\right)+\frac{1}{4}(1-(-1)^{a_1})(3a_1-1)-\frac{1}{4}(1+(-1)^{2a_1-a_2})(2a_1-a_2)\Bigg]. \tag{6.1}$$

Now we calculate the contributions of the summands indexed by the maximal parabolic subgroups. For a nonempty subset $I\subsetneq\{1,2,3\}$, let P_I be the parabolic subgroup of G which stabilises the flag

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{3}ke_i\supsetneq\bigoplus_{i\notin I}ke_i\supsetneq\emptyset.$$

Let $P_I=M_IN_I$ be the standard Levi factorisation, we have $M_I\cong\mathrm{GL}_2\times\mathrm{GL}_1$. For $\nu\in\Lambda_{M_I^{\mathrm{ad}}}\cong\mathbf{Z}/2$, let $q_I^\nu=|F_\gamma^{M_I,\mu}(\mathbf{F}_q)|$, for any $\mu\in\Lambda_{M_I}$ which projects to $\nu\in\Lambda_{M_I^{\mathrm{ad}}}$. Let α_I be the unique element in $\Phi_{B_0\cap M_I}(M_I,T)$, a simple calculation with the affine Springer fibers for the group GL_2 shows that

$$q_I^{(0)}=\sum_{i=0}^{\mathrm{val}(\alpha_I(\gamma))}q^i,\quad q_I^{(1)}=\sum_{i=0}^{\mathrm{val}(\alpha_I(\gamma))-1}q^i.$$

For $I=\{i\}$, $i=1,2,3$, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}|\Lambda^0_{M_I}\cap\pi_{M_I}(R_{P_I})\cap\pi_{M_I}(\Pi)\cap c_M^{-1}(0)|&=\lfloor\frac{a_1}{2}\rfloor,\\ |\Lambda^0_{M_I}\cap\pi_{M_I}(R_{P_I})\cap\pi_{M_I}(\Pi)\cap c_M^{-1}(1)|&=\lfloor\frac{a_1+1}{2}\rfloor.\end{aligned}$$

The summands indexed by P_I in the equation (3.8) contributes in total

$$\lfloor\frac{a_1}{2}\rfloor\left(q^{2n_1}\sum_{i=0}^{n_2}q^i+2q^{n_1+n_2}\sum_{i=0}^{n_1}q^i\right)+\lfloor\frac{a_1+1}{2}\rfloor\left(q^{2n_1}\sum_{i=0}^{n_2-1}q^i+2q^{n_1+n_2}\sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1}q^i\right). \tag{6.2}$$

Similarly, the summands indexed by P_I with $|I|=2$ contributes in total

$$\lfloor\frac{a_2}{2}\rfloor\left(q^{2n_1}\sum_{i=0}^{n_2}q^i+2q^{n_1+n_2}\sum_{i=0}^{n_1}q^i\right)+\lfloor\frac{a_2+1}{2}\rfloor\left(q^{2n_1}\sum_{i=0}^{n_2-1}q^i+2q^{n_1+n_2}\sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1}q^i\right). \tag{6.3}$$

The above calculation can be summarized as:

Proposition 6.2. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
Q^0_\gamma(a_1,a_2) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} i(q^{2i-1}+q^{2i-2}) + \sum_{i=2n_1}^{n_1+n_2-1}(2n_1+1)q^i \\
&+ \sum_{i=n_1+n_2}^{2n_1+n_2-1} 4(2n_1+n_2-i)q^i + q^{2n_1+n_2} \\
&+ 6q^{2n_1+n_2}\Bigg[3\lfloor\frac{a_1}{2}\rfloor^2 - \lfloor\frac{2a_1-a_2-1}{2}\rfloor\left(\lfloor\frac{2a_1-a_2-1}{2}\rfloor+1\right) \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{4}(1-(-1)^{a_1})(3a_1-1) - \frac{1}{4}(1+(-1)^{2a_1-a_2})(2a_1-a_2)\Bigg] \\
&+ \left(\lfloor\frac{a_1}{2}\rfloor+\lfloor\frac{a_2}{2}\rfloor\right)\left(q^{2n_1}\sum_{i=0}^{n_2} q^i + 2q^{n_1+n_2}\sum_{i=0}^{n_1} q^i\right) \\
&+ \left(\lfloor\frac{a_1+1}{2}\rfloor+\lfloor\frac{a_2+1}{2}\rfloor\right)\left(q^{2n_1}\sum_{i=0}^{n_2-1} q^i + 2q^{n_1+n_2}\sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1} q^i\right)
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, it depends quasi-polynomially on (a_1,a_2).

6.2. **Counting points by Harder-Narasimhan reduction.**

6.2.1. *The main body.* We need to work out each term in the equation (4.8). For $M=T$, it is easy to see that $J_T^{T,\xi^T}(\gamma)=1$, and we need to count the number of lattice points

$$|\Lambda_T^0 \cap \Pi_0|.$$

In our work [C3], §6, we calculate explicitly $\mathrm{Ec}(x_0)$ for a particular choice of regular point $x_0 \in \mathscr{X}_\gamma^{\mathrm{reg}}$. We make a suitable translation to adapt the result to our current setting, which requires $\lambda_{B_0}(\Sigma_\gamma)=(0,0,0)$. Let (i,j,k) be a permutation of $(1,2,3)$, we denote also by (i,j,k) the permutation which takes $(1,2,3)$ to (i,j,k). The vertices of Σ_γ are

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{123}(\Sigma_\gamma) &= (0,0,0), \quad \lambda_{321}(\Sigma_\gamma)=(-2n_1, n_1-n_2, n_1+n_2),\\
\lambda_{213}(\Sigma_\gamma) &= (-n_1,n_1,0), \quad \lambda_{312}(\Sigma_\gamma)=(-n_1,-n_2,n_1+n_2),\\
\lambda_{132}(\Sigma_\gamma) &= (0,-n_2,n_2), \quad \lambda_{231}(\Sigma_\gamma)=(-2n_1,n_1,n_1).
\end{aligned}$$

By the equation (4.5), we can calculate the vertices of Π_0 to be

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{123}(\Pi_0) &= (a_1-2n_1-1, a_2-a_1+n_1-n_2, -a_2+n_1+n_2+1),\\
\lambda_{321}(\Pi_0) &= (-a_2+1, a_2-a_1, a_1-1),\\
\lambda_{213}(\Pi_0) &= (a_2-a_1-n_1, a_1-n_2-1, -a_2+n_1+n_2+1),\\
\lambda_{312}(\Pi_0) &= (a_2-a_1-n_1, -a_2+n_1+1, a_1-1),\\
\lambda_{132}(\Pi_0) &= (a_1-2n_1-1, -a_2+n_1+1, a_2-a_1+n_1),\\
\lambda_{231}(\Pi_0) &= (-a_2+1, a_1-n_2-1, a_2-a_1+n_2).
\end{aligned}$$

We will count the lattice points in Π_0 indirectly. We complete the hexagon Π_0 to a triangle T_0, whose vertices are

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{123}(T_0) &= \lambda_{132}(T_0) = (2a_2 - 2n_1 - n_2 - 2, -a_2 + n_1 + 1, -a_2 + n_1 + n_2 + 1),\\
\lambda_{321}(T_0) &= \lambda_{312}(T_0) = (-a_2 + 1, -a_2 + n_1 + 1, 2a_2 - n_1 - 2),\\
\lambda_{213}(T_0) &= \lambda_{231}(T_0) = (-a_2 + 1, 2a_2 - 2 - n_1 - n_2, -a_2 + n_1 + n_2 + 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $T_1 \cup T_2 \cup T_3$ be the complement of Π_0 in T_0, as shown in Fig. 4. Notice that the T_i's don't contain their common boundary with Π_0, so

$$|\Lambda_T^0 \cap \Pi_0| = |\Lambda_T^0 \cap T_0| - \sum_{i=1}^{3} |\Lambda_T^0 \cap T_i|.$$

The right hand side is much easier to calculate.



FIGURE 4. Complete the hexagon to a triangle.

The length of the edges of T_0 is $3a_2 - 3 - 2n_1 - n_2$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Lambda_T^0 \cap T_0| &= \sum_{i=1}^{3a_2-3-2n_1-n_2+1} i\\
&= \frac{1}{2}(3a_2 - 2 - 2n_1 - n_2)(3a_2 - 1 - 2n_1 - n_2).
\end{aligned}$$

The length of the edges of T_1 is $2a_2 - a_1 - n_1 - 1$. As we don't count the lattice points on the common boundary of T_1 and Π_0, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Lambda_T^0 \cap T_1| &= \sum_{i=1}^{2a_2-a_1-n_1-1} i\\
&= \frac{1}{2}(2a_2 - a_1 - n_1 - 1)(2a_2 - a_1 - n_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the length of the edges of T_2 is $2a_2 - a_1 - n_2 - 1$ and we have

$$|\Lambda_T^0 \cap T_2| = \frac{1}{2}(2a_2 - a_1 - n_2 - 1)(2a_2 - a_1 - n_2).$$

The triangle T_3 is of the same size as T_1, so

$$|\Lambda_T^0 \cap T_3| = \frac{1}{2}(2a_2 - a_1 - n_1 - 1)(2a_2 - a_1 - n_1).$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Lambda_T^0 \cap \Pi_0| &= |\Lambda_T^0 \cap T_0| - \sum_{i=1}^{3} |\Lambda_T^0 \cap T_i| \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(3a_2 - 2 - 2n_1 - n_2)(3a_2 - 1 - 2n_1 - n_2) \\ &\quad -(2a_2 - a_1 - n_1 - 1)(2a_2 - a_1 - n_1) \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}(2a_2 - a_1 - n_2 - 1)(2a_2 - a_1 - n_2). \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

We go on to calculate $|\Lambda_M^0 \cap \pi_M(\Pi_0)|$ for the other Levi subgroup $M \in \mathcal{L}(T)$. Let d_M be the distance between the facets Π_0^P and $\Pi_0^{P^-}$, where P, P^- are the only two elements in $\mathcal{P}(M)$. It is easy to see that

$$|\Lambda_M^0 \cap \pi_M(\Pi_0)| = d_M + 1.$$

The set $\mathcal{L}(T)\backslash\{T, G\}$ consists of 3 elements, they are Levi factors $M_{\{i\}}$ of the parabolic subgroups $P_{\{i\}}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Use the explicit expression of the vertices of Π_0, we can calculate

$$|\Lambda_{M_{\{1\}}}^0 \cap \pi_{M_{\{1\}}}(\Pi_0)| = d_{M_{\{1\}}} + 1 = a_1 + a_2 - 2n_1 - 1, \tag{6.5}$$

$$|\Lambda_{M_{\{2\}}}^0 \cap \pi_{M_{\{2\}}}(\Pi_0)| = d_{M_{\{2\}}} + 1 = a_1 + a_2 - n_1 - n_2 - 1, \tag{6.6}$$

$$|\Lambda_{M_{\{3\}}}^0 \cap \pi_{M_{\{3\}}}(\Pi_0)| = d_{M_{\{3\}}} + 1 = a_1 + a_2 - n_1 - n_2 - 1. \tag{6.7}$$

Now that the $J_T^{M,\xi^M}(\gamma)$ has been calculated in proposition 5.1, we can insert the equations (6.4) to (6.7) to the equation (4.8), and get

Proposition 6.3. *The number of rational points on the main body is*

$$\begin{aligned}
|^m\mathscr{X}^0_\gamma(\Pi)(\mathbf{F}_q)| &= J^\xi_T(\gamma) + q^{2n_1+n_2}\Bigg[\frac{1}{2}(3a_2-2-2n_1-n_2)(3a_2-1-2n_1-n_2)\\
&\quad -(2a_2-a_1-n_1-1)(2a_2-a_1-n_1)\\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2}(2a_2-a_1-n_2-1)(2a_2-a_1-n_2)\Bigg]\\
&+ q^{2n_1}(a_1+a_2-2n_1-1)\left(n_2q^{n_2}-\sum_{i=0}^{n_2-1}q^i\right)\\
&+ 2q^{n_1+n_2}(a_1+a_2-n_1-n_2-1)\left(n_1q^{n_1}-\sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1}q^i\right).
\end{aligned}$$

6.2.2. *The tail.* To begin with, we write down the vertices of Π.

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{123}(\Pi) &= (a_1, a_2-a_1, -a_2),\\
\lambda_{321}(\Pi) &= (-a_2-2n_1, a_2-a_1+n_1-n_2, a_1+n_1+n_2),\\
\lambda_{213}(\Pi) &= (a_2-a_1-n_1, a_1+n_1, -a_2),\\
\lambda_{312}(\Pi) &= (a_2-a_1-n_1, -a_2-n_2, a_1+n_1+n_2),\\
\lambda_{132}(\Pi) &= (a_1, -a_2-n_2, a_2-a_1+n_2),\\
\lambda_{231}(\Pi) &= (-a_2-2n_1, a_1+n_1, a_2-a_1+n_1).
\end{aligned}$$

For nonempty subset $I \subsetneq \{1,2,3\}$, we simplify the notation $E_{P_I}(\Pi)$ to $E_I(\Pi)$. Using the coordinates of vertices of Π, we can calculate the lengths of the edges of Π, and find the following expression for $E_I(\Pi)$: When $|I|=1$, we have

$$E_I(\Pi) = \left(A^{G,M_I}_{\alpha_I}\right)^{2a_2-a_1}(F_\gamma); \tag{6.8}$$

When $|I|=2$, we have

$$E_I(\Pi) = \left(A^{G,M_I}_{\alpha_I}\right)^{2a_1-a_2}(F_\gamma). \tag{6.9}$$

As explained before, we can use the Arthur-Kottwitz reduction inductively to count the number of rational points on $\mathscr{X}^0_\gamma(E_I(\Pi))$. We give the details for $I=\{3\}$, the others can be calculated in the same way.

We follow the process in §4.2.4 to pass from $\left(A^{G,M_{\{3\}}}_{\alpha_{\{3\}}}\right)^a(F_\gamma)$ to $\left(A^{G,M_{\{3\}}}_{\alpha_{\{3\}}}\right)^{a+1}(F_\gamma)$. But instead of using the partition (4.12), we use the partition as illustrated in Fig. 5 to make the passage. The advantage is that we work on the same connected component of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$.



FIGURE 5. Arthur-Kottwitz reduction when applying $A_{\alpha_{\{3\}}}^{G,M_{\{3\}}}$.

Following our algorithm, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^0\left(\left(A_{\alpha_{\{3\}}}^{G,M_{\{3\}}}\right)^{a+1}(F_\gamma)\right)(\mathbf{F}_q)\right| &= \left|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^0\left(\left(A_{\alpha_{\{3\}}}^{G,M_{\{3\}}}\right)^{a}(F_\gamma)\right)(\mathbf{F}_q)\right| + q^{2n_1}|F_\gamma^{M_{\{1\}},1}(\mathbf{F}_q)| \\ &\quad + q^{n_1+n_2}|F_\gamma^{M_{\{13\}},1}(\mathbf{F}_q)| + q^{2n_1+n_2} \\ &= \left|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^0\left(\left(A_{\alpha_{\{3\}}}^{G,M_{\{3\}}}\right)^{a}(F_\gamma)\right)(\mathbf{F}_q)\right| + q^{2n_1}\sum_{i=0}^{n_2-1} q^i \\ &\quad + q^{n_1+n_2}\sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1} q^i + q^{2n_1+n_2}\end{aligned}$$

From this relation and the equation (6.8), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^0(E_{\{3\}}(\Pi))(\mathbf{F}_q)| &= |F_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)| + (2a_2-a_1)\Big(q^{2n_1}\sum_{i=0}^{n_2-1} q^i \\ &\quad + q^{n_1+n_2}\sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1} q^i + q^{2n_1+n_2}\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^0(E_{\{1\}}(\Pi))(\mathbf{F}_q)| &= |F_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)| + (2a_2-a_1)\Big(2q^{n_1+n_2}\sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1} q^i \\ &\quad + q^{2n_1+n_2}\Big),\end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

$$|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^0(E_{\{2\}}(\Pi))(\mathbf{F}_q)| = |F_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)| + (2a_2 - a_1)\Big(q^{2n_1}\sum_{i=0}^{n_2-1} q^i + q^{n_1+n_2}\sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1} q^i + q^{2n_1+n_2}\Big). \tag{6.12}$$

$$|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^0(E_{\{12\}}(\Pi))(\mathbf{F}_q)| = |F_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)| + (2a_1 - a_2)\Big(q^{2n_1}\sum_{i=0}^{n_2-1} q^i + q^{n_1+n_2}\sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1} q^i + q^{2n_1+n_2}\Big). \tag{6.13}$$

$$|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^0(E_{\{23\}}(\Pi))(\mathbf{F}_q)| = |F_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)| + (2a_1 - a_2)\Big(2q^{n_1+n_2}\sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1} q^i + q^{2n_1+n_2}\Big), \tag{6.14}$$

$$|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^0(E_{\{13\}}(\Pi))(\mathbf{F}_q)| = |F_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)| + (2a_1 - a_2)\Big(q^{2n_1}\sum_{i=0}^{n_2-1} q^i + q^{n_1+n_2}\sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1} q^i + q^{2n_1+n_2}\Big). \tag{6.15}$$

Inserting the equations (6.10) to (6.15) to the equation (4.9), we get

Proposition 6.4. *The number of rational points on the tail equals*

$$|{}^t\mathscr{X}_\gamma^0(\Pi)(\mathbf{F}_q)| = (a_1 + a_2)\Big(2q^{2n_1}\sum_{i=0}^{n_2-1} q^i + 4q^{n_1+n_2}\sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1} q^i + 3q^{2n_1+n_2}\Big)$$

The sum of results in proposition 6.3, 6.4 gives us another expression for $Q_\gamma^0(a_1, a_2)$.

Corollary 6.5.

$$\begin{aligned} Q^0_\gamma(a_1,a_2) &= J^\xi_T(\gamma) + q^{2n_1+n_2}\Bigg[\frac{1}{2}(3a_2-2-2n_1-n_2)(3a_2-1-2n_1-n_2) \\ &\quad -(2a_2-a_1-n_1-1)(2a_2-a_1-n_1) \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}(2a_2-a_1-n_2-1)(2a_2-a_1-n_2)\Bigg] \\ &+ q^{2n_1}(a_1+a_2-2n_1-1)\left(n_2q^{n_2}-\sum_{i=0}^{n_2-1}q^i\right) \\ &+ 2q^{n_1+n_2}(a_1+a_2-n_1-n_2-1)\left(n_1q^{n_1}-\sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1}q^i\right) \\ &+ (a_1+a_2)\Big(2q^{2n_1}\sum_{i=0}^{n_2-1}q^i+4q^{n_1+n_2}\sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1}q^i+3q^{2n_1+n_2}\Big) \end{aligned}$$

In particular, this shows that $Q^0_\gamma(a_1,a_2)$ depends polynomially on $(a_1,a_2)\in\mathbf{N}^2$. As a corollary, the expression for $Q^0_\gamma(a_1,a_2)$ in proposition 6.2 is also a polynomial in (a_1,a_2), although it doesn't seem to be so.

6.3. **Arthur's weighted orbital integral.** Now we can compare the two expressions in proposition 6.2 and corollary 6.5 for $Q^0_\gamma(a_1,a_2)$. Look at their constant terms $Q^0_\gamma(0,0)$. After some calculations, we obtain

Theorem 6.6. *Arthur's weighted orbital integral for γ equals*

$$J^\xi_T(\gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} i(q^{2i-1}+q^{2i-2}) + \sum_{i=n_1+n_2}^{2n_1+n_2-1}(4n_1+2n_2-4i-3)q^i + (n_1^2+2n_1n_2)q^{2n_1+n_2}.$$

As T is split, the orbital integral I^G_γ can be calculated easily by the equation (2.3) to be

$$I^G_\gamma = q^{2n_1+n_2}.$$

7. Calculations for GL_3–mixed case

Let $G=\mathrm{GL}_3$, $\gamma\in\mathfrak{gl}_3(F)$ a regular semi-simple integral element. Assume that $Z_{G(F)}(\gamma)\cong F^\times\times E_2^\times$, with E_2 a separable totally ramified field extension over F of degree 2. As before, we can reduce to the case that γ is a matrix of the form

$$\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} a & & \\ & & b_0\epsilon^n \\ & b_0\epsilon^{n+1} & \end{bmatrix}, \tag{7.1}$$

with $a\in\mathcal{O}$, $b_0\in\mathcal{O}^\times$. Let $m=\mathrm{val}(a)$.

Let P be the parabolic subgroup $P = B_0 \cup B_0 s_2 B_0$. Let $P = MN$ be the standard Levi decomposition. We identify $X_*(A) \cong \mathbf{Z}^3$ in the usual way. This gives us an identification $\Lambda_M \cong \mathbf{Z}^2$ and hence $\Lambda_M \otimes \mathbf{R} \cong \mathbf{R}^2$, we also identify $\mathfrak{a}_M^G$ with the line $x+y=0$ in $\mathbf{R}^2$, which can be further identified with $\mathbf{R}$ by taking the coordinate x. Under these identifications, the moment polytope Σ_γ of the fundamental domain F_γ can be taken to be the closed interval

$$\Sigma_\gamma = [\, -n(\gamma, P, P^-),\, 0\,] \subset \mathbf{R} \cong \mathfrak{a}_M^G.$$

To simplify the notations, we abbreviate $n(\gamma, P, P^-)$ to n_γ. We have

$$n_\gamma = \min\{2m, 2n+1\}.$$

By definition, we can take

$$F_\gamma = \mathscr{X}_\gamma \cap \mathscr{X}^{n+1}(\Sigma_\gamma).$$

This can be refined a little bit. For $(n_1, n_2) \in \mathbf{N}^2$, let Π_{n_1,n_2} be the positive (G, A)-orthogonal family as indicated in Fig. 6 (The dashed part not included).



FIGURE 6. The (G, A)-orthogonal family Π_{n_1,n_2} and its extension to a triangle.

Consider the positive (G, A)-orthogonal families $\Pi_{n_\gamma, n+1}$. For $i \in \mathbf{Z}$, $-n_\gamma \leq i \leq 0$, let

$$\Pi^i_{n_\gamma,n+1} = \Pi_{n_\gamma,n+1} \cap \pi_M^{-1}(i),$$

where $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ is considered as an element of $\mathfrak{a}_M^G$ by the identification $\mathbf{R} \cong \mathfrak{a}_M^G$. By theorem 5.2, we have

$$\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,(i,n+1-i)} \subset \mathscr{X}^{M,(i,n+1-i)}(\Pi^i_{n_\gamma,n+1}), \qquad \text{for } i = -n_\gamma, \cdots, 0.$$

This implies that

$$F_\gamma = \mathscr{X}_\gamma \cap \mathscr{X}^{n+1}(\Sigma_\gamma) = \mathscr{X}_\gamma \cap \mathscr{X}^{n+1}(\Pi_{n_\gamma,n+1}).$$

It is possible but quite hard to construct an affine paving of F_γ and to count the number of rational points with it. Instead, we take an indirect way. Let $\Delta_{n_\gamma,n+1}$ be the completion of $\Pi_{n_\gamma,n+1}$ into a triangle as indicated in Fig. 6. We can count the number of rational points on $\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{n+1}(\Delta_{n_\gamma,n+1})$ quite easily, using the affine pavings in [C1], proposition 3.6. The complementary $\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{n+1}(\Delta_{n_\gamma,n+1})\backslash F_\gamma$ can be treated by the Arthur-Kottwitz reduction. Taking their difference, we find $|F_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)|$.

We calculate the number of rational points on $\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{n+1}(\Delta_{n_\gamma,n+1})$. For $N \in \mathbf{N}$, let

$$I_N = \mathrm{Ad}(\mathrm{diag}(\epsilon^N, 1, 1))I.$$

According to [C1], proposition 3.6, when $N \gg 0$, we have an affine paving

$$\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{n+1}(\Delta_{n_\gamma,n+1}) = \bigcup_{\epsilon^{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathscr{X}_\gamma^{n+1}(\Delta_{n_\gamma,n+1})^A} \mathscr{X}_\gamma^{n+1}(\Delta_{n_\gamma,n+1}) \cap I_N \epsilon^{\mathbf{a}} K/K.$$

The dimension of the affine paving can be calculated using [C1], lemma 3.1, together with theorem 5.2. When $m \leq n$, i.e. γ is not equivalued, the dimension of the paving is

$$\min\{a_2, m\} + \min\{a_3, m\} + \begin{cases} a_2 - a_3, & \text{if } 0 \leq a_2 - a_3 \leq n; \\ a_3 - a_2 - 1, & \text{if } 1 \leq a_3 - a_2 \leq n+1 \end{cases}$$

Otherwise, the intersection is empty. When $m \geq n+1$, i.e. γ is equivalued, the dimension of the paving is

$$\min\{a_2, n\} + \min\{a_3, n+1\} + \begin{cases} a_2 - a_3, & \text{if } 0 \leq a_2 - a_3 \leq n; \\ a_3 - a_2 - 1, & \text{if } 1 \leq a_3 - a_2 \leq n+1. \end{cases}$$

Otherwise, the intersection is empty. We summarize the situation in the following two pictures, Fig. 7 and Fig. 8. The triangle is cut into 4 parts by the two red lines, the dimension of the fibration f_P restricted to the affine pavings in different parts are given by different formulas. The two dashed lines bound the region where $\mathscr{X}_\gamma^M \cap I_N \epsilon^{\mathbf{a}} K/K$ is non-empty.

Proposition 7.1. *Let γ be a matrix in the form (7.1). When $\mathrm{val}(a) = m \leq n$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{n+1}(\Delta_{2m,n+1})(\mathbf{F}_q)| \quad = \quad & \sum_{j=0}^{2m-1} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) q^j + (2m+n+1)q^{2m} \\ & + \sum_{j=2m+1}^{m+n} (4m+n+1-j)q^j + \sum_{j=m+n+1}^{2m+n} \big(3(2m+n-j)+1\big)q^j \\ & + q^{2m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} q^j. \end{aligned}$$

In the summation, we use the convention that a summand is empty if its subscript is greater than its superscript.



FIGURE 7. Counting points in the non-equivalued case.



FIGURE 8. Counting points in the equivalued case.

Proof. Summing along the blue lines in Fig. 7, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{n+1}(\Delta_{2m,n+1})(\mathbf{F}_q)| \;=\; & \sum_{i=0}^{m} q^i(1+q+\cdots+q^i) + \sum_{i=m+1}^{2m} q^i(1+q+\cdots+q^{2m-i}) \\ & + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} q^{i+m}(q^{m+1-i}+\cdots+q^n) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} q^{i+m}(q^{m-i}+\cdots+q^n) \\ & + q^{2m} \sum_{i=2m+1}^{2m+n} (1+q+\cdots+q^{i-2m}) + q^{2m} \sum_{i=0}^{n} q^i.\end{aligned}$$

After rearranging the summand, we get the proposition.

□

Proposition 7.2. *Let γ be a matrix in the form* (7.1)*. When* $\mathrm{val}(a) = m > n$*, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{n+1}(\Delta_{2n+1,n+1})(\mathbf{F}_q)| &= \sum_{j=0}^{2n}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{j}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)q^j \\ &\quad+\sum_{j=2n+1}^{3n+1}\big(3(3n-j+1)+1\big)q^j \\ &\quad+q^{2n+1}\sum_{j=0}^{n}q^j.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Summing along the blue lines in Fig. 8, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{n+1}(\Delta_{2n+1,n+1})(\mathbf{F}_q)| &= \sum_{i=0}^{n}q^i(1+q+\cdots+q^i)+\sum_{i=n+1}^{2n+1}q^i(1+q+\cdots+q^{2n+1-i}) \\ &\quad+\sum_{i=1}^{n}q^{i+n}(q^{n+1-i}+\cdots+q^n)+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}q^{i+n+1}(q^{n+1-i}+\cdots+q^n) \\ &\quad+q^{2n+1}\sum_{i=2n+2}^{3n+1}(1+q+\cdots+q^{i-(2n+1)})+q^{2n+1}\sum_{i=0}^{n}q^i.\end{aligned}$$

After rearranging the summand, we get the proposition.

□

Now we calculate the number of rational points on the complement $\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{n+1}(\Delta_{n_\gamma,n+1})\backslash F_\gamma$. For $i\in\mathbf{Z}$, $1\le i\le n+1$, let

$$\Delta^i_{n_\gamma,n+1}=\Delta_{n_\gamma,n+1}\cap\pi_M^{-1}(i),$$

where $i\in\mathbf{Z}$ is considered as an element of $\mathfrak{a}_M^G$ by the identification $\mathbf{R}\cong\mathfrak{a}_M^G$.

Proposition 7.3. *Let γ be a matrix in the form* (7.1)*. We have*

$$\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{n+1}(\Delta_{n_\gamma,n+1})\backslash F_\gamma=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1}f_P^{-1}(\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,(i,n+1-i)}(\Delta^i_{n_\gamma,n+1}))\cap\mathscr{X}_\gamma,$$

where $(i,n+1-i)\in\mathbf{Z}^2$ is regarded as an element in Λ_M by the identification $\mathbf{Z}^2\cong\Lambda_M$. Its number of rational points over $\mathbf{F}_q$ equals

$$q^{n_\gamma}\sum_{j=0}^{n}(1+q+\cdots+q^j).$$

Proof. Observe that the second assertion is a direct consequence of the first one by proposition 2.1, it is hence enough to show the first assertion.

Let $x \in \mathscr{X}_\gamma^{n+1}(\Delta_{n_\gamma,n+1})$, notice that it doesn't belong to F_γ if and only if

$$H_P(x) \in [1, n+1] \subset \mathbf{R} \cong \mathfrak{a}_M^G, \tag{7.2}$$

because $H_{P^-}(x) \leq H_P(x)$. This implies that

$$\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{n+1}(\Delta_{n_\gamma,n+1}) \backslash F_\gamma = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} f_P^{-1}(\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,(i,n+1-i)}(\Delta^i_{n_\gamma,n+1})) \cap \mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Delta_{n_\gamma,n+1}).$$

To finish the proof, we only need to show that

$$f_P^{-1}(\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,(i,n+1-i)}(\Delta^i_{n_\gamma,n+1})) \cap \mathscr{X}_\gamma(\Delta_{n_\gamma,n+1}) = f_P^{-1}(\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,(i,n+1-i)}(\Delta^i_{n_\gamma,n+1})) \cap \mathscr{X}_\gamma,$$

for $i = 1, \cdots, n+1$. The inclusion "$\subset$" is obvious, we only need to show its inverse. For any point $x \in f_P^{-1}(\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,(i,n+1-i)}(\Delta^i_{n_\gamma,n+1})) \cap \mathscr{X}_\gamma$, the inclusion (7.2) holds. By proposition 3.1, together with the fact that $\mathrm{Ec}(x)$ is a positive (G, A)-orthogonal family, we have

$$\mathrm{Ec}(x) \subset \Delta_{n_\gamma,n+1},$$

whence the equality we want.

□

Summarize all the above discussions, we get:

Theorem 7.4. *Let γ be a matrix in the form* (7.1)*. When* $\mathrm{val}(a) = m \leq n$*, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |F_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)| &= \sum_{j=0}^{2m-1} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) q^j + (2m+1) \sum_{j=2m}^{m+n} q^j \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=m+n+1}^{2m+n-1} \big(2(2m+n-j)+1\big) q^j + q^{2m+n}. \end{aligned}$$

When $\mathrm{val}(a) = m > n$*, we have*

$$|F_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)| = \sum_{j=0}^{2n} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) q^j + \sum_{j=2n+1}^{3n} \big(2(3n+1-j)+1\big) q^j + q^{3n+1}.$$

Now it is easy to deduce the weighted orbital integral $J_M^\xi(\gamma)$. For $N \in \mathbf{N}$, $N \gg 0$, let

$$\Sigma_N = [-n_\gamma - N, N] \subset \mathbf{R} \cong \mathfrak{a}_M^G.$$

We can count the number of rational points $|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{n+1}(\Sigma_N)(\mathbf{F}_q)|$ in two ways. By the Arthur-Kottwitz reduction, we have

$$|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{n+1}(\Sigma_N)(\mathbf{F}_q)| = |F_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)| + 2Nq^{n_\gamma} \cdot |F_\gamma^M(\mathbf{F}_q)|.$$

By the Harder-Narasimhan reduction, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{n+1}(\Sigma_N)(\mathbf{F}_q)| &= 2|F_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)| + |\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{n+1,\xi}(\mathbf{F}_q)| \\ &\quad +(2N-n_\gamma-1)q^{n_\gamma}\cdot|F_\gamma^M(\mathbf{F}_q)|,\end{aligned}$$

here we use the fact that $\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{M,\nu,\xi} = F_\gamma^M$ for any $\nu \in \Lambda_M$ because γ is anisotropic in $\mathfrak{m}(F)$. The comparison of the two expressions implies

$$|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{n+1,\xi}(\mathbf{F}_q)| = (n_\gamma+1)q^{n_\gamma}\cdot|F_\gamma^M(\mathbf{F}_q)| - |F_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)|.$$

By theorem 7.4 and 5.2, we have

Theorem 7.5. *Let γ be a matrix in the form* (7.1). *When* $\mathrm{val}(a) = m \le n$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned}J_M^\xi(\gamma) = |\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{n+1,\xi}(\mathbf{F}_q)| &= 2mq^{2m+n} + \sum_{j=m+n+1}^{2m+n-1} 2(j-m-n)q^j \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=0}^{2m-1}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{j}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)q^j.\end{aligned}$$

When $\mathrm{val}(a) = m > n$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned}J_M^\xi(\gamma) = |\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{n+1,\xi}(\mathbf{F}_q)| &= (2n+1)q^{3n+1} + \sum_{j=2n+1}^{3n}(2j-4n-1)q^j \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=0}^{2n}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{j}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)q^j.\end{aligned}$$

As before, the orbital integral I_γ^G can be calculated by the equation (2.3):

$$I_\gamma^G = q^{n_\gamma}\sum_{i=0}^{n} q^i = \begin{cases} q^{2m}\sum_{i=0}^n q^i, & \text{if } m \le n; \\ q^{2n+1}\sum_{i=0}^n q^i, & \text{if } m > n.\end{cases}$$

8. Calculations for GL_3–anisotropic case

Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_3$, $\gamma \in \mathfrak{gl}_3(F)$ a regular semi-simple integral element. Assume that $\mathrm{char}(k) > 3$ and $Z_{G(F)}(\gamma) \cong E_3^\times$, with $E_3 = \mathbf{F}_q(\!(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{3}})\!)$. As before, take the basis $\{\epsilon^{\frac{2}{3}}, \epsilon^{\frac{1}{3}}, 1\}$ of E_3 over F, we can assume that γ is of the form

$$\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} & b_0\epsilon^{n_1} & c_0\epsilon^{n_2} \\ c_0\epsilon^{n_2+1} & & b_0\epsilon^{n_1} \\ b_0\epsilon^{n_1+1} & c_0\epsilon^{n_2+1} & \end{bmatrix}, \tag{8.1}$$

with $b_0, c_0 \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ and $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbf{N}$. In this case, Arthur's weighted orbital integral is the same as the orbital integral, and both are equal to $|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^0(\mathbf{F}_q)|$. The matrix γ is equivalued of valuation $n_1 + \frac{1}{3}$ if $n_1 \le n_2$, and equivalued of valuation $n_2 + \frac{2}{3}$ if $n_2 < n_1$. So we can use the Hessenberg paving of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$ to calculate it.

When $n_1 \leq n_2$, let $x = (1, 2/3, 1/3)$, $t = n_1 + \frac{1}{3}$, then $\mathbf{G}_x = I$, $\mathbf{G}_x(0) = A$ and

$$\gamma_t = \begin{bmatrix} & b_0 & \\ & & b_0 \\ b_0 & & \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have the Bruhat-Tits decomposition

$$\mathscr{X}^0 = \bigcup_{\substack{\mathbf{a}=(a_1,a_2,a_3)\in\mathbf{Z}^3 \\ a_1+a_2+a_3=0}} I\epsilon^{\mathbf{a}}K/K.$$

For $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$ as above, let $y_{\mathbf{a}} = (-a_1, -a_2, -a_3)$. We have

$$\mathscr{X}^0_\gamma \cap I\epsilon^{\mathbf{a}}K/K \cong S_{y_{\mathbf{a}}} = \{g \in I/I \cap \mathrm{Ad}(\epsilon^{\mathbf{a}})K \mid \mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1}\gamma \in \mathrm{Ad}(\epsilon^{\mathbf{a}})\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})\},$$

which is an iterated affine fibration over k onto the Hessenberg variety

$$S_{y_{\mathbf{a}},0+} = \{g \in A/A \mid \mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1}\gamma_t \in F^0_{y_{\mathbf{a}}}\mathfrak{g}_x(t)\}.$$

As $F^0_{y_{\mathbf{a}}}\mathfrak{g}_x(t)$ is the image of $\mathrm{Ad}(\epsilon^{\mathbf{a}})\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O}) \cap \mathfrak{g}_{x,t}$ in the quotient $\mathfrak{g}_x(t)$, the Hessenberg variety $S_{y_{\mathbf{a}},0+}$ is non-empty if and only if

$$a_1 - a_2 \leq n_1, \quad a_2 - a_3 \leq n_1, \quad a_3 - a_1 \leq n_1 + 1,$$

in which case it is isomorphic to a point. This domain is shown schematically in Fig. 9.

For the lattice points in this domain, the Hessenberg paving $S_{y_{\mathbf{a}}}$ is isomorphic to an affine space of dimension

$$d_{\mathbf{a}} := |\{(m, \alpha) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \Phi(G, A) \mid 0 \leq m + \alpha(x) < n_1 + \frac{1}{3},\, m + \alpha(y_{\mathbf{a}}) < 0\}|.$$

We indicate the number of rational points of the Hessenberg paving in Fig. 9. To sum over them, we need to count the following lattice points, with $n \geq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} &|\{(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbf{Z}^3 \mid a_1 \geq a_2 \geq a_3,\, a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 0,\, a_1 - a_3 = n\}| \\ &= |\{a_1 \in \mathbf{Z} \mid n \leq 3a_1 \leq 2n\}| \\ &= \begin{cases} k+1, & \text{if } n = 3k; \\ k, & \text{if } n = 3k+1; \\ k+1, & \text{if } n = 3k+2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 8.1. *Let $\gamma \in \mathfrak{gl}_3(\mathcal{O})$ be the matrix in the form* (8.1)*. Suppose that $n_1 \leq n_2$, it is then equivalued of valuation $n_1 + \frac{1}{3}$. The (weighted)-orbital integral associated to γ equals*



FIGURE 9. Counting points for ramified anisotropic $\gamma \in \mathfrak{gl}_3(\mathcal{O})$-first case.

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_G^{\xi}(\gamma) \quad = \quad & I_\gamma^G = |\mathscr{X}_\gamma^0(\mathbf{F}_q)| = 1 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n_1}{3} \rfloor} q^{2(3i-1)}(q^2+q+1) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \left(i - 2\left\lfloor \frac{i}{3} \right\rfloor - 1 \right) q^{2i-3}(q^3+2q^2+2q+1) \\
& + \sum_{i=n_1+1}^{2n_1} \left(2n_1 - i - 2\left\lceil \frac{2n_1-i}{3} \right\rceil + 1 \right) q^{i+n_1-1}(q+2) \\
& + q^{2n_1-1}\left(\left\lceil \frac{2n_1-1}{3} \right\rceil - \left\lfloor \frac{n_1-2}{3} \right\rfloor - 1 \right) + 2 \sum_{i=\lceil \frac{2n_1-1}{3} \rceil}^{n_1-1} q^{3i+1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

When $n_2 < n_1$, let $x = (1, 2/3, 1/3)$, $t = n_2 + \frac{2}{3}$, then $\mathbf{G}_x = I$, $\mathbf{G}_x(0) = A$ and

$$\gamma_t = \begin{bmatrix} & & c_0 \\ c_0 & & \\ & c_0 & \end{bmatrix}.$$

For $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbf{Z}_3$, $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 0$, let $y_{\mathbf{a}} = (-a_1, -a_2, -a_3)$. The intersection

$$\mathscr{X}_\gamma^0 \cap I\epsilon^{\mathbf{a}}K/K \cong S_{y_{\mathbf{a}}} = \{g \in I/I \cap \mathrm{Ad}(\epsilon^{\mathbf{a}})K \mid \mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1}\gamma \in \mathrm{Ad}(\epsilon^{\mathbf{a}})\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})\}$$

is an iterated affine fibration over the Hessenberg variety

$$S_{y_{\mathbf{a}},0+} = \{g \in A/A \mid \mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1}\gamma_t \in F^0_{y_{\mathbf{a}}}\mathfrak{g}_x(t)\}.$$

As $F^0_{y_{\mathbf{a}}}\mathfrak{g}_x(t)$ is the image of $\mathrm{Ad}(\epsilon^{\mathbf{a}})\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O}) \cap \mathfrak{g}_{x,t}$ in the quotient $\mathfrak{g}_x(t)$, the Hessenberg variety $S_{y_{\mathbf{a}},0+}$ is non-empty if and only if

$$a_1 - a_3 \le n_2, \quad a_2 - a_1 \le n_1 + 1, \quad a_3 - a_2 \le n_1 + 1,$$

in which case it is isomorphic to a point. This domain is shown schematically in Fig. 10.



FIGURE 10. Counting points for ramified anisotropic $\gamma \in \mathfrak{gl}_3(\mathcal{O})$-second case.

For the lattice points in this domain, the Hessenberg paving $S_{y_{\mathbf{a}}}$ is isomorphic to an affine space of dimension

$$|\{(m,\alpha)\in\mathbf{Z}\times\Phi(G,A)\mid 0\leq m+\alpha(x)<n_2+\frac{2}{3},\ m+\alpha(y_{\mathbf{a}})<0\}|.$$

We indicate the number of rational points of the Hessenberg paving in Fig. 10. Sum over them, we get

Theorem 8.2. *Let $\gamma\in\mathfrak{gl}_3(\mathcal{O})$ be the matrix in the form* (8.1)*. Suppose that $n_2<n_1$, it is then equivalued of valuation $n_2+\frac{2}{3}$. The (weighted)-orbital integral associated to γ equals*

$$\begin{aligned}
J_G^{\xi}(\gamma) &= I_\gamma^G=|\mathscr{X}_\gamma^0(\mathbf{F}_q)|=1+2\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor\frac{n_2}{3}\rfloor}q^{2(3i-1)}(q^2+q+1)\\
&+\sum_{i=1}^{n_2}\left(i-2\left\lfloor\frac{i}{3}\right\rfloor-1\right)q^{2i-3}(q^3+2q^2+2q+1)\\
&+\left(n_2-2\left\lceil\frac{n_2-1}{3}\right\rceil\right)q^{2n_2-1}(1+2q^2)\\
&+\sum_{i=n_2+2}^{2n_2}\left(2n_2-i-2\left\lceil\frac{2n_2-i}{3}\right\rceil+1\right)q^{i+n_2-1}(1+2q)\\
&+2\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor\frac{n_2-2}{3}\rfloor}q^{3(n_2-i)-2}(1+q)+2q^{2n_2}\left(\left\lceil\frac{2n_2-1}{3}\right\rceil-\left\lfloor\frac{n_2-2}{3}\right\rfloor-1\right)+q^{3n_2+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

References

[A] J. Arthur, *The characters of discrete series as orbital integrals*, Invent. math. **32**(1976), 205-261.

[B] R. Bezrukavnikov, *The dimension of the fixed point set on affine flag manifolds*, Math. Res. Lett. 3 (1996), 185–189.

[C1] Z. Chen, *Pureté des fibres de Springer affines pour* GL_4, Bull. SMF 142, fascicule 2 (2014), 193-222. `http://arxiv.org/pdf/1111.3352`.

[C2] Z. Chen, *The ξ-stability on the affine grassmannian*. Math. Z. 280 (2015), no. 3-4, 1163–1184.

[C3] Z. Chen, *On the fundamental domains of affine Springer fibers*, Math. Z. (2016). `http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.4630.`

[C4] Z. Chen, *Truncated affine grassmannians and truncated affine Springer fibers for* GL_3, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1401.1930.`

[CL1] P-H. Chaudouard, G. Laumon, *Sur l'homologie des fibres de Springer affines tronquées*, Duke Math. J. 145 (2008), no. 3, 443–535.

[CL2] P-H. Chaudouard, G. Laumon, *Le lemme fondamental pondéré. I. Constructions géométriques.* Compos. Math. 146 (2010), no. 6, 1416–1506.

[GKM1] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz, R. MacPherson, *Homology of affine Springer fibers in the unramified case*, Duke Math. J. 121 (2004), no. 3, 509-561.

[GKM2] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz, R. MacPherson, *Purity of equivalued affine Springer fibers*, Represent. Theory 10 (2006), 130-146.

[GKM3] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz, R. MacPherson, *Regular points in affine Springer fibers*, Michigan Math. J. 53 (2005), no. 1, 97-107.

[K] R. E. Kottwitz, *Harmonic analysis on reductive p-adic groups and Lie algebras.* Harmonic analysis, the trace formula, and Shimura varieties, 393–522, Clay Math. Proc., 4, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005

[KL] D. Kazhdan, G. Lusztig, *Fixed point varieties on affine flag manifolds*, Israel. J. Math. **62**(1988), 129-168.

[MP] A. Moy, G. Prasad, *Unrefined minimal K-types for p-adic groups*, Invent. Math. 116 (1994), 393–408.

[N] Bau Châu Ngô, *Le lemme fondamental pour les algèbres de Lie.* Publ. Math. IHES. No. 111 (2010), 1–169.

Jinchunyuan west building, office 255, Yau Mathematical Science center, Tsinghua University, 100084, Haidian district, Beijing, China

E-mail address: zbchen@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn